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**Summary**

- **UK and Ireland classification**
  - **EUNIS 2008** A5.133  
    - *Moerella* spp. with venerid bivalves in infralittoral gravelly sand
  - **JNCC 2015** SS.SCS.ICS.MoeVen  
    - *Moerella* spp. with venerid bivalves in infralittoral gravelly sand
  - **JNCC 2004** SS.SCS.ICS.MoeVen  
    - *Moerella* spp. with venerid bivalves in infralittoral gravelly sand
  - **1997 Biotope**

- **Description**

  Infralittoral medium to coarse sand and gravelly sand which is subject to moderately strong water movement from tidal streams may be characterized by *Moerella* spp. with the polychaete *Glycera lapidum* (agg.) and venerid bivalves. Typical species include *Moerella pygmaea* or *Moerella donacina*.
with other robust bivalves such as *Dosinia lupinus*, *Timoclea ovata*, *Goodallia triangularis* and Chamelea gallina. Other infauna include nephtyd and spionid polychaetes and amphipod crustacea. Another important component of this biotope in some areas is the bivalve *Spisula solida* (see Kühne & Rachnor, 1996) which may be common or abundant. In conjunction with FfabMag this biotope may form part of the 'Shallow Venus Community', the 'Boreal Off-shore Sand Association' and the ‘*Goniadella*-Spisula association' of previous workers (see Petersen, 1918; Jones, 1951; Thorson, 1957; Salzwedel et al., 1985). Epifaunal communities may be reduced in this biotope when compared to FfabMag; both types may have surface sand waves which may be indicative of the presence of venerid bivalves (Warwick & Davies, 1977). This hypothesis, however, requires testing. Remote grab sampling is likely to under-estimate venerid bivalves and other deep-burrowing and more dispersed species such as *Paphia*, *Ensis* and *Spatangus*. In southern areas of the UK and the North Sea, in slightly siltier sand and shelly sand, SCS.MoeVen may give way to the other *Spisula* biotope SSA.SsubNhom. Together these two biotopes replace the old biotope IGS.Sell (JNCC, 2015).

**Depth range**

0-5 m, 5-10 m, 10-20 m

**Additional information**

- 

**Listed By**

- none -

**Further information sources**

Search on:

[Google] [JNCC]
Sensitivity review

Sensitivity characteristics of the habitat and relevant characteristic species

The biotope description and characterizing species are taken from JNCC (2015). This sedimentary biotope is characterized by medium to coarse sand and gravelly sand. The exposure to tidal streams leads to sediment movement and transport reducing the epifaunal and macroalgal component, as these require more stable sediments. The sediments and hydrodynamics are considered to be key physical factors structuring the biotope and their sensitivity is, therefore, considered for pressures that may lead to alterations. The macroalgae and other epifauna are therefore not considered characterizing. The species present that characterize the biotope are typically robust bivalves including Moerella (now Tellina) spp. and the venerid bivalves Dosinia lupinus, Timoclea ovata, and Chamelea gallina. Bivalves from other families may be present including Goodallia triangularis, Paphia spp., Ensis spp. and Spisula solida which may be locally important in some biotopes. Other infauna include the polychaete Glycera lapidum (agg.); nephtyd polychaetes, including Nephtys cirrosa; spionid polychaetes such as Spio filicornis and Spiophanes bombyx; and amphipods. Echinoderms, including Amphipholis squamata; Echinocyamus pusillus; and Spatangus purpureus, may be present. The bivalves are considered the key characterizing species and the sensitivity assessments focus on these while evidence for the polychaetes and other species are considered generally.

Resilience and recovery rates of habitat

This biotope may recover from impacts via in-situ repair of damaged individuals, migration of adults of mobile species such as the errant polychaetes Glycera lapidum and Nephtys cirrosa, amphipods and urchins. Adults may also be transported in the water column following washout from sediments. Storm events may lead to the displacement of large numbers of individuals. Most bivalves will be able to reposition within the sediment and some, such as Glycymeris glycymeris, are also able to move and to relocate following displacement and disturbance (Thomas, 1975). For immobile species or where depopulation has occurred over a large area, recovery will depend on recolonization by pelagic larvae.

A large number of species are recorded in the biotope and there may be large natural variation in species abundance over the course of a year or between years (see Dauvin, 1985 for Timoclea ovata; Fahy et al., 2003 for Spisula solida; Sardá et al., 1999 multispecies). These variations may not alter the biotope classification where habitat parameters, such as sediment type, remain as described in the classification and many of the characteristic species groups are present. For many of the bivalve species studied, recruitment is sporadic and depends on a successful spat fall but recruitment by the characterizing polychaetes may be more reliable. However, due to the large number of pre- and post-recruitment factors such as food supply, predation, and competition, recruitment of venerid bivalves and other species is unpredictable (Olafsson et al., 1994).

The life history characteristics of the characterizing bivalves and polychaetes and other species were reviewed. Little information was found for Moerella spp. Morton (2009) noted that despite the wide global distribution of the characterizing venerid bivalve, Timoclea ovata, little was known about its anatomy or basic biology. This appears to be the case for many of the other characterizing venerid bivalves and much more information was available for the polychaete species that occur in this biotope. Two linked factors that may explain this are the greater research effort in soft sediments with higher mud contents where sampling is easier than in coarse sediments. Venerid bivalves are also considered to be under-represented in grab samples (JNCC,
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2015), so less is known of their occurrence on ecological and impact gradients.

The venerid bivalves in the biotope reach sexual maturity within two years, spawn at least once a year and have a pelagic dispersal phase (Guillou & Sauriau, 1985; Dauvin, 1985). No information was found concerning number of gametes produced, but the number is likely to be high as with other bivalves exhibiting planktotrophic development (Olafsson et al., 1994). Recruitment in venerids is likely to be episodic, some species such as Chamelea gallina may be long-lived (11-20 years). The long lifespan & slow growth rate suggest that this group is likely to take several years, even if initial recolonization were to occur rapidly (MES 2010). Dauvin (1985) reported that Timoclea ovata (studied as Venus ovata) recruitment occurred in July-August in the Bay of Morlaix. However, the population showed considerable pluriannual variations in recruitment, which suggests that recruitment is patchy and/or post settlement processes are highly variable.

The species that are present in the biotope can be broadly characterized as either opportunist species that rapidly colonize disturbed habitats and increase in abundance, or species that are larger and longer-lived and that may be more abundant in an established, mature assemblage.

Species with opportunistic life strategies (small size, rapid maturation and short lifespan of 1-2 years with production of large numbers of small propagules), include the bivalve Spisula solida; and the polychaetes Spiophanes bombyx, Spio filicornis, and Chaetozone setosa; also cumaceans; barnacles Balanus crenatus; and the tube worm Spirobranchnus (formerly Pomatoceros) lamarckii. These are likely to recolonize disturbed areas first, although the actual pattern will depend on recovery of the habitat, season of occurrence and other factors. The recovery of bivalves that recruit episodically and the establishment of a representative age-structured population for larger, longer lived organisms may require longer than two years. In an area that had been subjected to intensive aggregate extraction for 30 years, abundances of juvenile and adults Nephtys cirrosa had greatly increased three years after extraction had stopped (Mouleaert & Hostens, 2007). An area of sand and gravel subject to chronic working for 25 years had not recovered after 6 years when compared to nearby reference sites unimpacted by operations (Boyd et al., 2005). The characterizing Moerella (now Tellina) spp. are a relatively long-lived genus (6-10 years; MES, 2008, 2010) and the number of eggs is likely to be fewer than genera that have planktotrophic larvae. Similarly, Chamelea sp. and Dosinia sp. are long lived (11-20 years and up to 20 years, respectively; MES, 2008, 2010). While recruitment may be rapid, restoration of the biomass by growth of the colonizing individuals is likely to take many years.

Other longer lived species that may represent a more developed and stable assemblage include the polychaete Owenia fusiformis which lives for 4 years and reproduces annually (Gentil et al., 1990). Nephtys species and Glycera spp. are also longer-lived. Glycera are monotelic having a single breeding period towards the end of their life but may recover through migration and may persist in disturbed sediments through their ability to burrow (Klawe & Dickie, 1957). Glycera spp. have a high potential rate of recolonization of sediments, but the relatively slow growth-rate and long lifespan suggests that recovery of biomass following initial recolonization by post-larvae is likely to take several years (MES Ltd, 2010). Following dredging of subtidal sands in summer and autumn to provide material for beach nourishment in the Bay of Blanes, (north-west Mediterranean sea, Spain) recovery was tracked by Sardá et al. (2000). Recolonization in the dredged habitats was rapid, with high densities of Spisula subtruncata and Owenia fusiformis in the spring following dredging, although most of these recruits did not survive summer. However, Glycera spp. and Protodorvillea kefersteini had not recovered within two years (Sardá et al., 2000).

A number of studies have tracked recovery of sand and coarse sand communities following
disturbance from fisheries (Gilkinson et al., 2005) and aggregate extraction (Boyd et al., 2005). The available studies confirm the general trend that, following severe disturbance, habitats are recolonized rapidly by opportunistic species (Pearson & Rosenberg, 1978). Experimental deployment of hydraulic clam dredges on a sandy seabed on Banquereau, on the Scotian Shelf, eastern Canada showed that within 2 years of the impact, polychaetes and amphipods had increased in abundance after 1 year (Gilkinson et al., 2005). Two years after dredging, abundances of opportunist species were generally elevated relative to pre-dredging levels while communities had become numerically dominated (50-70%) by Spiophanes bombyx (Gilkinson et al., 2005). Van Dalfsen et al. (2000) found that polychaetes recolonized a dredged area within 5-10 months (reference from Boyd et al., 2005), with biomass recovery predicted within 2-4 years. The polychaete and amphipods are therefore likely to recover more rapidly than the characterizing bivalves and the biotope classification may revert, during recovery, to a polychaete dominated biotope.

Sardà et al. (1999) tracked annual cycles within a Spisula community in Bay of Blanes (north west Mediterranean sea, Spain) for 4 years. Macroinfaunal abundance peaked in spring, decreased sharply throughout the summer, with low density in autumn and winter. The observed trends were related to a number of species, including many that characterize this biotope such as Owenia fusiformis; Glycera sp.; Protodorvillea kefersteini; Mediomastus fragilis; Spisula subtruncata; and Branchiostoma lanceolatum. The Spisula subtruncata populations were dominated by juveniles, with high abundances in spring followed by declines in summer, with very few survivors 3 months after recruitment. Inter-annual differences in recruitment of Owenia fusiformis were apparent and this species showed spring/summer increases. Mediomastus fragilis also had spring population peaks but more individuals persisted throughout the year. Protodorvillea kefersteini exhibited a similar pattern with spring recruitment and a population that persisted throughout the year.

Where impacts also alter the sedimentary habitat, recovery of the biotope will also depend on recovery of the habitat to the former condition to support the characteristic biological assemblage. Recovery of sediments will be site-specific and will be influenced by currents, wave action and sediment availability (Desprez, 2000). Except in areas of mobile sands, the process tends to be slow (Kenny & Rees, 1996; Desprez, 2000 and references therein). Boyd et al. (2005) found that in a site subject to long-term extraction (25 years), extraction scars were still visible after six years and sediment characteristics were still altered in comparison with reference areas, with ongoing effects on the biota.

Resilience assessment. Where resistance is ‘None’ or ‘Low’ and an element of habitat recovery is required, resilience is assessed as ‘Medium’ (2-10 years), based on evidence from aggregate recovery studies in similar habitats including Boyd et al. (2005). Where resistance of the characterizing species is ‘Low’ or ‘Medium’ and the habitat has not been altered, resilience is assessed as ‘High’ as, due to the number of characterizing species and variability in recruitment patterns, it is likely that the biotope would be considered representative and hence recovered after two years although some parameters such as species richness, abundance and biotopes may be altered. Recovery of the seabed from severe physical disturbances that alter sediment character may also take up to 10 years or longer (Le Bot et al., 2010), although extraction of gravel may result in more permanent changes and this will delay recovery.

NB: The resilience and the ability to recover from human induced pressures is a combination of the environmental conditions of the site, the frequency (repeated disturbances versus a one-off event) and the intensity of the disturbance. Recovery of impacted populations will always be mediated by stochastic events and processes acting over different scales including, but not limited to, local
habitat conditions, further impacts and processes such as larval-supply and recruitment between populations. Full recovery is defined as the return to the state of the habitat that existed prior to impact. This does not necessarily mean that every component species has returned to its prior condition, abundance or extent but that the relevant functional components are present and the habitat is structurally and functionally recognisable as the initial habitat of interest. It should be noted that the recovery rates are only indicative of the recovery potential.

### Hydrological Pressures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature increase (local)</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q: High A: Low C: Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Davenport & Davenport (2005) demonstrated that the limits of thermal tolerance to high and low temperatures reflect distribution of intertidal macroinvertebrate species. Species that occur highest on the shore are more tolerant of a wider range of temperatures than species that occurred low on the shore or subtidally. As subtidal biotopes are less exposed to temperature fluctuations, the characterizing species may be less able to tolerate temperature fluctuations.

No direct evidence was found to support assessment of this pressure. Very few laboratory studies have been carried out on the characterizing species and the assessment relies on information on larvae in the plankton or monitoring of settlement and records of species distribution. Species from different areas may be acclimated to prevailing conditions and life histories may vary, e.g. *Chamelea gallina* longevity varies between populations (Gaspar *et al*., 2004) as does the longevity of *Amphipholis squamata* in different locations and habitats (Emson *et al*., 1989).

Kröncke *et al.* (1998) examined long-term changes in the macrofauna in the subtidal zone off Norderney, one of the East Frisian barrier islands. The analysis suggested that macrofauna were severely affected by cold winters whereas storms and hot summers have no impact on the benthos. A long-term increase in temperature might cause a shift in species composition. Long-term analysis of the North Sea pelagic system has identified yearly variations in larval abundance of Echinodermata, Arthropoda, and Mollusca larvae that correlate with sea surface temperatures. Larvae of benthic echinoderms and decapod crustaceans increased after the mid-1980s, coincident with a rise in North Sea sea surface temperature, whereas bivalve larvae underwent a reduction (Kirby *et al*., 2008). An increase in temperature may alter larval supply and in the long-term, and over large spatial scales, may result in changes in community composition.

Temperature cues influence the timing of gametogenesis and spawning in several species present in the biotope. Seasonal variations in reproductive cycle of *Spisula solida* were studied at a site off Vilamoura, southern Portugal. The onset of spawning took place in February when the seawater temperature began to increase and spawning ended in May. It is possible that *Spisula solida* does not spawn at a definite temperature, rather responding to the increase in seawater temperature (Gaspar & Monteiro, 1999). Many polychaete species including *Mediomastus fragilis, Owenia fusiformis* and *Protodorvillea kefersteini* also show spring/early summer recruitment (Sardá *et al*., 1999).

The characterizing bivalve *Timoclea ovata* has a wide distribution from northern Norway and Iceland south to west Africa. It is also recorded from the Canary Islands, the Azores and the Mediterranean and Black Sea (Morton, 2009). *Goodallia triangularis* also has a widespread distribution in the Atlantic coasts of Europe to the Mediterranean and north-western Africa.
(Giribet & Peñas, 1999). Polychaetes and other species associated with the biotope may also have wide global distributions. *Mediomastus fragilis* has been recorded throughout the British Isles (NBN, 2015) and in the Mediterranean (Serrano et al., 2011). *Glycera lapidum* is found in the north-eastern Atlantic, Mediterranean, North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat (Marine Species Identification Portal). *Protodorvillea kefersteini* can be found in the north Atlantic to North Sea and English Channel, Mediterranean and Black Sea (Marine Species Identification Portal).

**Sensitivity assessment.** Little evidence was available to assess this pressure. Assemblages in fine sands that contain many of the characterizing species occur in the Mediterranean (see resilience section Sardá et al., 1999; Sardá et al., 2000), where temperatures are higher than experienced in the UK. It is considered likely, therefore, that a chronic change in temperature at the pressure benchmark would be tolerated by species with a wide distribution or that some species or groups of species would be resilient. An acute change may exceed thermal tolerances or lead to spawning or other biological effects. These effects may be sub-lethal or result in the removal of only a proportion of less tolerant species. Biotope resistance is therefore assessed as ‘Medium’ and resilience is assessed as ‘High’. Biotope sensitivity is therefore assessed as ‘Low’.

**Temperature decrease (local)***  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q: High</th>
<th>A: Medium</th>
<th>C: Medium</th>
<th>Q: High</th>
<th>A: Low</th>
<th>C: Medium</th>
<th>Q: High</th>
<th>A: Low</th>
<th>C: Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Davenport & Davenport (2005) demonstrated that the limits of thermal tolerance to high and low temperatures reflect the distribution of intertidal macroinvertebrate species. Species that occur highest on the shore are more tolerant of a wider range of temperatures than species that occurred low on the shore or subtidally. As subtidal biotopes are less exposed to temperature fluctuations the characterizing species may be less able to tolerate temperature fluctuations.

The characterizing bivalve *Timoclea ovata* has a wide distribution from northern Norway and Iceland south to west Africa. It is also recorded from the Canary Islands, the Azores and the Mediterranean and Black Sea (Morton, 2009). *Goodallia triangularis* also has a widespread distribution in the Atlantic coasts of Europe to the Mediterranean and north-western Africa (Giribet & Peñas, 1999). Polychaetes and other species associated with the biotope may also have wide global distributions. *Mediomastus fragilis* has been recorded throughout the British Isles (NBN, 2015) and in the Mediterranean (Serrano et al., 2011). *Glycera lapidum* is found in the north-eastern Atlantic, Mediterranean, North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat (Marine Species Identification Portal). *Protodorvillea kefersteini* can be found in the north Atlantic to North Sea and English Channel, Mediterranean and Black Sea (Marine Species Identification Portal).

Long-term analysis of the North Sea pelagic system has identified yearly variations in larval abundance of Echinodermata, Arthropoda, and Mollusca larvae that correlate with sea surface temperatures. Larvae of benthic echinoderms and decapod crustaceans increased after the mid-1980s, coincident with a rise in North Sea sea surface temperature, whereas bivalve larvae underwent a reduction (Kirby et al., 2008). A decrease in temperature may alter larval supply and in the long-term, and over large spatial scales, may result in changes in community composition.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Many of the characterizing species are found in more northern waters than the UK and may be adapted to colder temperatures. Plankton studies suggest that colder waters may favour bivalve larvae. An acute change may exceed thermal tolerances or lead to spawning or other biological effects. These effects may be sub-lethal or remove only a proportion of less tolerant species. Biotope resistance is therefore assessed as ‘Medium’ and resilience is assessed as ‘High’. Biotope sensitivity is therefore assessed as ‘Low’.

https://www.marlin.ac.uk/habitats/detail/1111
Salinity increase (local)

Low: Q: High A: Low C: NR
Medium: Q: High A: Low C: Medium
Medium: Q: High A: Low C: Low

This biotope occurs in full salinity but is also found in the outer reaches of estuaries where some salinity fluctuations may be experienced so that the characterizing species may tolerate some changes in salinity. No directly relevant evidence was found to assess this pressure. A study from the Canary Islands indicates that exposure to high salinity effluents (47-50 psu) from desalination plants alter the structure of biological assemblages, reducing species richness and abundance (Riera et al., 2012). Bivalves and amphipods appear to be less tolerant of increased salinity than polychaetes and were largely absent at the point of discharge. Polychaetes, including species or genera that occur in this biotope, such as Spio filicornis, Glyceria spp. and Lumbrineris sp., were present at the discharge point (Riera et al., 2012).

The ophiuroid Amphipholis squamata has been recorded in areas of high salinity (52-55 ppt) in the Arabian Gulf (Price, 1982), indicating local acclimation may be possible.

**Sensitivity assessment.** High saline effluents alter the structure of biological assemblages. Polychaete species may be more tolerant than bivalves so that an increase in salinity may lead to a shift in community composition so that the biotope may alter to resemble the polychaete dominated SS.SCS.CCS.MedLumVen, that occurs in similar conditions. Biotop e resistance is therefore assessed as ‘Low’ and resilience as ‘Medium’, as bivalve recovery may depend on episodic recruitment. Biotop e sensitivity is assessed as ‘Medium’.

Salinity decrease (local)

Low: Q: High A: Medium C: Medium
Medium: Q: High A: Low C: Medium
Medium: Q: High A: Low C: Medium

The biotope is found in open coast and estuaries with strong water movement. This biotope occurs in full salinity but is also found in the outer reaches of estuaries where some salinity fluctuations may be experienced so that the characterizing species may tolerate some changes in salinity. As this biotope occurs at the sublittoral fringe, some reductions in salinity may be experienced during periods of high rainfall that dilute seawater.

**Sensitivity assessment.** A reduction in salinity may result in changes in biotope composition as some sensitive species are lost and replaced by typical estuarine species more tolerant of the changed conditions, such as Nephtys cirrosa, Limecola balthica, and Bathyporeia spp. so that the biotope may be reclassified as SS.SSa.SSaVS.NcirLim. Biotop e resistance is therefore assessed as ‘Low’ and resilience as ‘Medium’, as bivalve recovery may depend on episodic recruitment. Biotop e sensitivity is assessed as ‘Medium’.

Water flow (tidal current) changes (local)

High: Q: High A: Medium C: High
High: Q: High A: High C: High
Not sensitive: Q: High A: Medium C: High

This biotope is recorded in areas where tidal flow varies between moderately strong (0.5-1.5 m/s) and weak (>0.5 m/s) (JNCC, 2015). Sands are less cohesive than mud sediments and a change in water flow at the pressure benchmark may alter sediment transport patterns within the biotope. Hjulström (1939) concluded that fine sand (particle diameter of 0.3-0.6 mm) was easiest to erode and required a mean velocity of 0.2 m/s. Erosion and deposition of particles greater than 0.5 mm require a velocity >0.2 m/s to alter the habitat. The topography of this habitat is shaped by currents and wave action that influence the formation of ripples in the sediment. Specific fauna
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may be associated with troughs and crests of these bedforms and may form following an increase in water flow, or disappear following a reduction in flow.

Many of the species occur in a range of sediment types, which, given the link between hydrodynamics and sediment type, suggests that these species are not sensitive to changes in water flow at the pressure benchmark. *Timoclea ovata* occur in muddy sands in areas that are sheltered and where fine sediments are deposited. *Glycera* spp. are found in areas with strong tidal streams where sediments are mobile (Roche et al., 2007) and in extremely sheltered areas (Connor et al., 2004). *Owenia fusiformis* is found in front of river outlets in the Mediterranean and can be subject to a wide range of water velocities. The tubes of *Owenia fusiformis* and *Lanice conchilega* can stabilize the sediment and reduce water movement related stresses on the benthos (Somaschini, 1993).

**Sensitivity assessment.** This biotope occurs in areas subject to moderately strong water flows and these are a key factor maintaining the clean sand habitat. Changes in water flow may alter the topography of the habitat and may cause some shifts in abundance. However, a change at the pressure benchmark (increase or decrease) is unlikely to affect biotopes that occur in mid-range flows and biotope sensitivity is therefore assessed as ‘High’ and resilience is assessed as ‘High’, so the biotope is considered to be ‘Not sensitive’.

**Emergence regime changes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q:</th>
<th>A:</th>
<th>C:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes in emergence are ‘Not relevant’ to this biotope which is restricted to fully subtidal habitats.

**Wave exposure changes (local)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q:</th>
<th>A:</th>
<th>C:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low C: NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>High C: High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low A: Low C: Low</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As this biotope occurs in infralittoral habitats, it is not directly exposed to the action of breaking waves. Associated polychaete species that burrow are protected within the sediment but the characterizing bivalves would be exposed to oscillatory water flows at the seabed. They and other associated species may be indirectly affected by changes in water movement where these impact the supply of food or larvae or other processes. No specific evidence was found to assess this pressure. As the biotope SS.SCS.ICS.MoeVen occurs in habitats that are exposed moderately exposed and sheltered from wave action (JNCC, 2015) but exposed to tidal streams, it is more likely that currents and substratum, rather than wave action, are significant factors determining species composition.

**Sensitivity assessment.** The range of wave exposures experienced by SS.SCS.ICS.MoeVen is considered to indicate, by proxy, that the biotope would have ‘High’ resistance and by default ‘High’ resilience to a change in significant wave height at the pressure benchmark. The biotope is therefore classed as ‘Not sensitive’.

**Chemical Pressures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Transition elements & organo-metal contamination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q:</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>A:</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>C:</th>
<th>NR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q:</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>A:</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>C:</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q:</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>A:</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>C:</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This pressure is **Not assessed** but evidence is presented where available.

The capacity of bivalves to accumulate heavy metals in their tissues, far in excess of environmental levels, is well known. Reactions to sub-lethal levels of heavy metal stressors include siphon retraction, valve closure, inhibition of byssal thread production, disruption of burrowing behaviour, inhibition of respiration, inhibition of filtration rate, inhibition of protein synthesis and suppressed growth (see review by Aberkali & Trueman, 1985). No evidence was found directly relating to *Fabulina fabula*. However, inferences may be drawn from studies of a closely related species. Stirling (1975) investigated the effect of exposure to copper on *Tellina tenuis*. The 96 hour LC50 for Cu was 1000 µg/l. Exposure to Cu concentrations of 250 µg/l and above inhibited burrowing behaviour and would presumably result in greater vulnerability to predators. Similarly, burial of the venerid bivalve, *Venerupis senegalensis*, was inhibited by copper spiked sediments, and at very high concentrations, clams closed up and did not bury at all (Kaschl & Carballeira, 1999). The copper 10 day LC50 for *Venerupis senegalensis* was found to be 88 µg/l in sandy sediments (Kaschl & Carballeira, 1999).

Echinoderms are also regarded as being intolerant of heavy metals (e.g. Bryan, 1984; Kinne, 1984) while polychaetes are tolerant (Bryan, 1984). *Owenia fusiformis* from the south coast of England were found to have loadings of 1335 µg Cu per gram bodyweight and 784 µg Zn per gram bodyweight. The metals were bound in spherules within the cells of the gut (Gibbs *et al*., 2000). No mention was made of any ill effects of these concentrations of metal within the body and it is presumed that *Owenia fusiformis* is tolerant of heavy metal contamination. Rygg (1985) classified *Lumbrineris* spp. as non-tolerant of Cu (species only occasionally found at stations in Norwegian fjords where Cu concentrations were >200 ppm (mg/kg)).

### Hydrocarbon & PAH contamination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q:</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>A:</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>C:</th>
<th>NR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q:</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>A:</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>C:</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q:</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>A:</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>C:</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This pressure is **Not assessed** but evidence is presented where available.

Suchanek (1993) reviewed the effects of oil on bivalves. Generally, contact with oil causes an increase in energy expenditure and a decrease in feeding rate, resulting in less energy available for growth and reproduction. Sublethal concentrations of hydrocarbons also reduce byssal thread production (thus weakening attachment) and infaunal burrowing rates. Conan (1982) investigated the long-term effects of the *Amoco Cadiz* oil spill at St Efflam beach in France. It was estimated that the delayed mortality effects on sand and mud biotas were 1.4 times as large as the immediate effects. *Fabulina fabula* (studied as *Tellina fabula*) started to disappear from the intertidal zone a few months after the spill and from then on was restricted to subtidal levels. In the following 2 years, recruitment of *Fabulina fabula* was very much reduced. The author commented that, in the long-term, the biotas most severely affected by oil spills are low energy sandy and muddy shores, bays and estuaries. In such places, populations of species with long and short-term life expectancies (e.g. *Fabulina fabula*, *Echinocardium cordatum* and *Ampelisca* sp.) either vanished or displayed long-term decline following the *Amoco Cadiz* oil spill. Polychaetes, however, including *Nephtys hombergii*, cirratulids and capitellids were largely unaffected. Other studies support the conclusion that polychaetes are generally a tolerant taxa. Hiscock *et al*. (2004, 2005 from Levell *et al*., 1989)
described *Glycera* spp. as a very tolerant taxa, found in enhanced abundances in the transitional zone along hydrocarbon contamination gradients surrounding oil platforms. Diaz-Castaneda *et al.* (1989) looked at colonization of defaunated and polluted sediments in Dunkerque harbour. The sediment was polluted with both heavy metals and oil. *Capitella capitata* was generally the first polychaete to colonize the polluted sediment. *Spio filicornis* took between 7 weeks and 3 months to appear in the sediment, suggesting it is tolerant of oil pollution.

Echinoderms, however, seem to be especially intolerant of the toxic effects of oil, probably because of the large amount of exposed epidermis (Suchanek, 1993). The high intolerance of *Echinocardium cordatum* to hydrocarbons was seen by the mass mortality of animals down to about 20 m depth, shortly after the *Amoco Cadiz* oil spill (Cabloch *et al.*, 1978). Polychaetes generally appear to have some tolerance for hydrocarbons.

This pressure is **Not assessed** but evidence is presented where available.

Stirling (1975) investigated the effects of phenol, a non-persistent, semi-synthetic organic pollutant, on *Tellina tenuis*. Exposure to phenol produced a measurable effect on burrowing at all concentrations tested, i.e. 50 mg/l and stronger. Sub-lethal effects of exposure to phenol included delayed burrowing and valve adduction to exclude the pollutant from the mantle cavity. After exposure to 100 mg/l for 24 hours, the majority of animals were extended from their shells and unresponsive to tactile stimulation. Following replacement of the phenol solution with clean seawater, good recovery was exhibited after 2 days for animals exposed to 50 mg/l and some recovery occurred after 4 days for animals exposed to 100 mg/l.

The anti-parasite compound ivermectin is highly toxic to benthic polychaetes and crustaceans (Black *et al.*, 1997; Collier & Pinn, 1998; Grant & Briggs, 1998, cited in Wildling & Hughes, 2010). OSPAR (2000) stated that, at that time, ivermectin was not licensed for use in mariculture but was incorporated into the feed as a treatment against sea lice at some farms. Ivermectin has the potential to persist in sediments, particularly fine-grained sediments at sheltered sites. Data from a farm in Galway, Ireland indicated that Ivermectin was detectable in sediments adjacent to the farm at concentrations up to 6.8 μm/kg and to a depth of 9 cm (reported in OSPAR, 2000). Infaunal polychaetes have been affected by deposition rates of 78-780 mg ivermectin/m².

Introduction of other substances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synthetic compound contamination</th>
<th>Not Assessed (NA)</th>
<th>Not assessed (NA)</th>
<th>Not assessed (NA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No evidence was found to support an assessment at the pressure benchmark. Following the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear power plant accident in August 2013, radioactive cesium concentrations in invertebrates collected from the seabed were assessed. Concentrations in bivalves and gastropods were lower than in polychaetes (Sohtome *et al.*, 2014). The data does not indicate that there were mortalities.
This pressure is **Not assessed**.

### De-oxygenation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure Level</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Q: High A: High C: NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Q: High A: Low C: Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Q: High A: Low C: Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Riedel *et al.* (2012) assessed the response of benthic macrofauna to hypoxia advancing to anoxia in the Mediterranean. The hypoxic and anoxic conditions were created for 3-4 days in a box that enclosed in-situ sediments. In general, molluscs were more resistant than polychaetes, with 90% surviving hypoxia and anoxia, whereas only 10% of polychaetes survived. Exposed individual *Timoclea ovata* and *Tellina serrata* survived the experiment but the exposed *Glycera* spp. died. In general epifauna were more sensitive than infauna, mobile species more sensitive than sedentary species and predatory species more sensitive than suspension and deposit feeders. The test conditions did not lead to the production of hydrogen sulphide, which may have reduced mortalities compared to some observations.

Further evidence of sensitivity was available for some of the polychaete species associated with this biotope. Rabalais *et al.* (2001) observed that hypoxic conditions in the north coast of the Gulf of Mexico (oxygen concentrations from 1.5 to 1 mg/L (1 to 0.7 ml/l)) led to the emergence of *Lumbrineris* sp. from the substrate, which then lied motionless on the surface. *Glycera alba* was found to be able to tolerate periods of anoxia resulting from inputs of organic rich material from a wood pulp and paper mill in Loch Eil (Scotland) (Blackstock & Barnes, 1982). Nierman *et al.* (1990) reported changes in a fine sand community in the German Bight, in an area with regular seasonal hypoxia. In 1983, oxygen levels were exceptionally low (<3 mg O₂/l) in large areas and <1mg O₂/l in some areas. Species richness decreased by 30-50% and overall biomass fell. *Owenia fusiformis* were significantly reduced in abundance by the hypoxia and *Spiophanes bombyx* was found in small numbers at some, but not all areas, during the period of hypoxia. Once oxygen levels returned to normal, *Spiophanes bombyx* increased in abundance; the evidence suggests that at least some individuals would survive hypoxic conditions.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Riedel *et al.* (2012) provide evidence on general sensitivity trends. The characterizing bivalves are likely to survive hypoxia at the pressure benchmark, although the polychaetes present, particularly the mobile predatory species such as *Glycera* and *Nephtys*, may be less tolerant. As the biotope is characterized by bivalves, resistance is assessed as ‘Medium’ and resilience as ‘High’ based on migration, water transport of adults and recolonization by pelagic larvae. Biotope sensitivity is assessed as ‘Low’.

### Nutrient enrichment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure Level</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Q: Low A: NR C: NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Q: High A: High C: High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sensitive</td>
<td>Q: Low A: Low C: Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This pressure relates to increased levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and silicon in the marine environment compared to background concentrations. The pressure benchmark is set at compliance with Water Framework Directive (WFD) criteria for good status, based on nitrogen concentration (UKTAG, 2014).

The bivalves, polychaetes and other associated invertebrate species are unlikely to be directly affected by changes in nutrient enrichment. The biotope is found in the infralittoral zone where light penetration allows the growth of macroalgae and *Ulva* spp. and *Laminaria saccharina* may be present (JNCC, 2015). Opportunistic algae, including *Ulva* spp., cannot store nutrients in the thallus (unlike larger, long-lived species) and are adapted to efficiently capture and utilize available resources.
nutrients in the water column (Pedersen et al., 2009). In areas where nutrient availability is lower, either naturally or through management to reduce anthropogenic inputs, Ulva spp. may be negatively affected through reduced growth rate and species replacement (Martínez et al., 2012; Vaudrey et al., 2010).

**Sensitivity assessment.** As this biotope is structured by the sediments and water flow rather than nutrient enrichment and is not characterized by macroalgae (although some may be present), the biotope is considered to have ‘High’ resistance to this pressure and ‘High’ resilience, (by default) and is assessed as ‘Not sensitive’.

### Organic enrichment

- **High**
  - Q: High A: Medium C: High
  - Q: High A: High C: High
  - Q: High A: Medium C: High

The biotope occurs in mobile sand sediments where sediment disturbance leads to particle sorting, and in-situ primary production is restricted to microphytobenthos and some macroalgae (JNCC, 2015). An input of organic matter would provide a food subsidy to the deposit feeding polychaetes and may be utilized by amphipods.

Simboura & Zenetos (2002) assigned Timoclea ovata to their Ecological Group II (GII) category for the biotic index that they developed, called BENTIX. Ecological Group II is defined as: ‘Species tolerant to disturbance or stress whose populations may respond to enrichment or other source of pollution by an increase of densities (slight unbalanced situations)’.

Borja et al. (2000) and Gittenberger & Van Loon (2011) assigned Glycera alba and Glycera lapidum, Spio filicornis and Spiophanes bombyx to their AMBI Group III, defined as: ‘Species tolerant to excess organic matter enrichment. These species may occur under normal conditions, but their populations are stimulated by organic enrichment (slight unbalance situations)’. Lumbrineris latreilli was characterized as AMBI Group II- ‘Species indifferent to enrichment, always present in low densities with non-significant variations with time (from initial state, to slight unbalance)’ (Borja et al., 2000, Gittenberger & Van Loon, 2011).

**Sensitivity assessment.** At the pressure benchmark, organic inputs are likely to represent a food subsidy for the associated deposit feeding species and are unlikely to significantly affect the structure of the biological assemblage or impact the physical habitat. Biotope sensitivity is therefore assessed as ‘High’ and resilience as ‘High’ (by default), and the biotope is therefore considered to be ‘Not sensitive’.

### Physical Pressures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical loss (to land or freshwater habitat)</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All marine habitats and benthic species are considered to have a resistance of ‘None’ to this pressure and to be unable to recover from a permanent loss of habitat (resilience is ‘Very Low’). Sensitivity within the direct spatial footprint of this pressure is therefore ‘High’. Although no specific evidence is described, confidence in this assessment is ‘High’ due to the incontrovertible nature of this pressure.
The biotope is characterized by the sedimentary habitat (JNCC, 2015), so a change to an artificial or rock substratum would alter the character of the biotope leading to reclassification and the loss of the sedimentary community including the characterizing bivalves, polychaetes and echinoderms that live buried within the sediment.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Based on the loss of the biotope, resistance is assessed as ‘None’, recovery is assessed as ‘Very Low’ (as the change at the pressure benchmark is permanent), and sensitivity is assessed as ‘High’.

This biotope is found in medium to coarse sand and gravelly sand (JNCC, 2015). The change referred to at the pressure benchmark is a change in sediment classification (based on Long, 2006) rather than a change in the finer-scale original Folk categories (Folk, 1954). For coarse sediments, resistance is assessed based on a change to either mixed sediments or mud and sandy muds.

Sediment type is a key factor structuring the biological assemblage present in the biotope. Surveys over sediment gradients and before-and-after impact studies from aggregate extraction sites where sediments have been altered indicate patterns in change. The biotope classification (JNCC, 2015) provides information on the sediment types where biotopes are found and indicate likely patterns in change if the sediment were to alter. Long-term alteration of sediment type to finer more unstable sediments was observed six years after aggregate dredging at moderate energy sites (Boyd *et al.*, 2005). The on-going sediment instability was reflected in a biological assemblage composed largely of juveniles (Boyd *et al.*, 2005).

Differences in biotope assemblages in areas of different sediment type are likely to be driven by pre and post recruitment processes. Sediment selectivity by larvae will influence levels of settlement and distribution patterns. Snelgrove *et al.* (1999) demonstrated that *Spisula solidissima*, selected coarse sand over muddy sand, and capitellid polychaetes selected muddy sand over coarse sand, regardless of site. Both larvae selected sediments typical of adult habitats, however, some species were nonselective (Snelgrove *et al.*, 1999) and presumably in unfavourable habitats post recruitment, mortality will result for species that occur in a restricted range of habitats. Some species may, however, be present in a range of sediments. Post-settlement migration and selectivity also occurred on small scales (Snelgrove *et al.*, 1999).

Cooper *et al.* (2011) found that characterizing species from sand dominated sediments were equally likely to be found in gravel dominated sediments, and an increase in sediment coarseness may not result in loss of characterizing species but biotope classification may revert to SS.SCS.CCS.MedLumVen, which occurs in gravels (JNCC, 2015).

Desprez (2000) found that a change of habitat to fine sands from coarse sands and gravels (from deposition of screened sand following aggregate extraction) changed the biological communities present. *Tellina pygmaea* and *Nephtys cirrosa* dominated the fine sand community. Dominant species of coarse sands, *Echinocyamus pusillus* and *Amphipholis squamata*, were poorly represented and the characteristic species of gravels and shingles were absent (Desprez, 2000).
Sensitivity assessment. A change to finer, muddy and mixed sediments is likely to reduce the abundance of the characterizing *Tellina* spp., venerid bivalves and other bivalves such as *Spisula solida*, and favour polychaetes. Such changes would lead to biotope reclassification. Biotope resistance is therefore assessed as ‘Low’ (as some species may remain), biotope resilience is assessed as ‘Very low’ (the pressure is a permanent change), and biotope sensitivity is assessed as ‘High’.

### Habitat structure changes - removal of substratum (extraction)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>None</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

A number of studies assess the impacts of aggregate extraction on sand and gravel habitats. Extraction would remove the epifauna and flora such as *Ulva* spp. and *Laminaria saccharina* that may be present in this biotope. Most of the animals that occur in this biotope are shallowly buried, for example, the venerid bivalves occur at the surface with the mantle margins and siphons.

Recovery of sediments will be site-specific and will be influenced by currents, wave action and sediment availability (Desprez, 2000). Except in areas of mobile sands, the process tends to be slow (Kenny & Rees, 1996; Desprez, 2000 and references therein). Boyd et al. (2005) found that in a site subject to long-term extraction (25 years), extraction scars were still visible after six years and sediment characteristics were still altered in comparison with reference areas with ongoing effects on the biota. The strongest currents are unable to transport gravel. A further implication of the formation of these depressions is a local drop in current strength associated with the increased water depth, resulting in deposition of finer sediments than those of the surrounding substrate (Desprez et al., 2000 and references therein). See the 'physical change pressure' for assessment.

Sensitivity assessment. Resistance is assessed as ‘None’ as extraction of the sediment swill remove the characterizing and associated species present. Resilience is assessed as ‘Medium’ as some species may require longer than two years to re-establish (see resilience section) and sediments may need to recover (where exposed layers are different). Biotope sensitivity is therefore assessed as ‘Medium’.

### Abrasion/disturbance of the surface of the substratum or seabed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Comparative studies between disturbed and undisturbed areas indicate that abrasion and disturbance from bottom trawling on coarse gravels and sands reduce abundance of organisms, biomass and species diversity (Collie et al., 1997). Undisturbed sites contain more calcareous tube worms, bryozoans and hydroids and small fragile polychaetes and brittle stars. Thick-shelled bivalves, hermit crabs and gastropods appeared unaffected by dredging. *Glycymeris* is a mobile burrower (Thomas, 1975). Abrasion would also displace the stones that epifauna and flora such as *Ulva* spp. and *Laminaria saccharina* that occur in the biotope and would be likely to result in direct damage. Venerid bivalves, such as the characterizing species *Timoclea ovata*, live close to the surface (Morton, 2009). Burrowing species such as *Spio filicornis* and *Lumbrineris latreilli* may be unaffected by surface abrasion. *Lumbrineris latreilli* was characterized as AMBI Fisheries Review Group III-Species insensitive to fisheries in which the bottom is disturbed. Their populations do not show a significant decline or increase (Gittenberger & Van Loon, 2011).
**Sensitivity assessment.** Abrasion is likely to damage epifauna and flora and may damage a proportion of the characterizing species, biotope resistance is therefore assessed as ‘Medium’. Resilience is assessed as ‘High’ as opportunistic species are likely to recruit rapidly and some damaged characterizing species may recover or recolonize. Biotope sensitivity is assessed as ‘Low’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penetration or disturbance of the substratum subsurface</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q: High A: High C: Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q: High A: High C: High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q: High A: High C: Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparative studies between disturbed and undisturbed areas indicate that abrasion and disturbance from bottom trawling on coarse gravels and sands, reduce abundance of organisms, biomass and species diversity (Collie *et al.*, 1997). Undisturbed sites contain more calcareous tube worms, bryozoans and hydroids and small fragile polychaetes and brittlestars.

Gilkinson *et al.* (1998) simulated the physical interaction of otter trawl doors with the seabed in a laboratory test tank using a full-scale otter trawl door model. Between 58% and 70% of the bivalves in the scour path that were originally buried were completely or partially exposed at the test bed surface. However, only two out of a total of 42 specimens showed major damage. The pressure wave associated with the otter door pushes small bivalves out of the way without damaging them. Where species can rapidly burrow and reposition (typically within species occurring in unstable habitats) before predation mortality rates will be relatively low. These experimental observations are supported by diver observations of fauna dislodged by a hydraulic dredge used to catch *Ensis* spp. Small bivalves were found in the trawl tracks that had been dislodged from the sediments, including the venerid bivalves *Dosinia exoleta*, *Chamelea striatula* and the hatchet shell *Lucinoma borealis*. These were usually intact (Hauton *et al.*, 2003a) and could potentially reburrow.

Larger, fragile species are more likely to be damaged by sediment penetration and disturbance than smaller species (Tillin *et al.*, 2006). Stomach analysis of fish caught scavenging in the tracks of beam trawls found parts of *Spatangus purpureus* and *Ensis* spp. indicating that these had been damaged and exposed by the trawl (Kaiser & Spencer, 1994a). Capasso *et al.* (2010) compared benthic survey datasets from 1895 and 2007 for an area in the English Channel. Although methodological differences limit direct comparison, the datasets appear to show that large, fragile urchin species including *Echinus esculentus*, *Spatangus purpureus* and *Psammechinus miliaris* and larger bivalves had decreased in abundance. Small, mobile species such as amphipods and small errant and predatory polychaetes (*Nephtys*, *Glycera*, *Lumbrineris*) appeared to have increased (Capasso *et al.*, 2010). The area is subject to beam trawling and scallop dredging and the observed species changes would correspond with predicted changes following physical disturbance. Two small species: *Timoclea ovata* and *Echinocyamus pusillus* (both present in the SS.SCS.ICS.MoeVen biotope) had increased in abundance between the two periods.

These results are supported by experiments in shallow wave disturbed areas using a toothed, clam dredge that found that some polychaete taxa without external protection and with a carnivorous feeding mode were enhanced by fishing. *Nephtys* sp. were one of these species; large increases in abundance in samples were detected post dredging and persisting over 90 days. The passage of the dredge across the sediment floor will have killed or injured some organisms that will then be exposed to potential predators/scavengers (Frid *et al.*, 2000; Veale *et al.*, 2000), providing a food source to mobile scavengers including these species. The persistence of disturbance will benefit these, increasing their abundance (Frid *et al.*, 2000).
**Sensitivity assessment.** The trawling studies and the comparative study by Capasso et al. (2010) suggest that the biological assemblage present in this biotope is characterized by species that are relatively tolerant of penetration and disturbance of the sediments. Either species are robust or buried within sediments or are adapted to habitats with frequent disturbance (natural or anthropogenic) and recover quickly. The results suggest that a reduction in physical disturbance may lead to the development of a community with larger, more fragile species including large bivalves. Biotope resistance is assessed as 'Medium' as some species will be displaced and may be predated or injured and killed. Biotope resilience is assessed as 'High' as most species will recover rapidly and the biotope is likely to still be classified as SS.SCS.ICS.MoeVen following disturbance. Biotope sensitivity is therefore assessed as 'Low'.

### Changes in suspended solids (water clarity)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>Medium C</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>A:</td>
<td>Medium C:</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A change in turbidity at the pressure benchmark is assessed as an increase from intermediate 10-100 mg/l to medium (100-300 mg/l) and a change to clear (< 10 mg/l). Macrolagae may occur in this biotope (JNCC, 2015) and growth may be enhanced by a decrease in turbidity, although sediment instability is likely to prevent large increases in abundance. An increase or decrease in turbidity may affect primary production in the water column and indirectly alter the availability of phytoplankton food available to species in filter feeding mode. However, phytoplankton will also be transported from distant areas and so the effect of increased turbidity may be mitigated to some extent. According to Widdows et al. (1979), growth of filter-feeding bivalves may be impaired at suspended particulate matter (SPM) concentrations >250 mg/l.

The venerid bivalves are active suspension feeders, trapping food particles on their gill filaments (ctenidia). An increase in suspended sediment is, therefore, likely to affect both feeding and respiration by potentially clogging the ctenidia. The characterizing species *Timoclea ovata*, generally occurs in areas with low suspended solids and has ‘tiny palps’ and a short, narrow, mid-gut, as there is little need for particle sorting (Morton, 2009). This suggests this species and other venerids may have difficulty sorting organic materials in high levels of suspended sediment.

Changes in turbidity and seston are not predicted to directly affect *Nephtys* spp., *Glycera* spp. and *Lumbrineris latreilli* which live within sediments.

**Sensitivity assessment.** No direct evidence was found to assess impacts on the characterizing and associated species. The characterizing, suspension feeding bivalves are not predicted to be sensitive to decreases in turbidity and may be exposed to, and tolerant of, short-term increases in turbidity following sediment mobilization by storms and other events. An increase in suspended solids, at the pressure benchmark may have negative impacts on growth and fecundity by reducing filter feeding efficiency and imposing costs on clearing. Biotope resistance is assessed as 'Medium' as there may be some shift in the structure of the biological assemblage and resilience is assessed as 'High' (following restoration of typical conditions). Biotope sensitivity is assessed as 'Low'.

### Smothering and siltation rate changes (light)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>Medium C</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>A:</td>
<td>Medium C:</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Addition of fine material will alter the character of this habitat by covering it with a layer of dissimilar sediment and will reduce suitability for the species associated with this feature. Recovery will depend on the rate of sediment mixing or removal of the overburden, either
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naturally or through human activities. Recovery to a recognisable form of the original biotope will not take place until this has happened. In areas where the local hydrodynamic conditions are unaffected, fine particles will be removed by wave action moderating the impact of this pressure. The rate of habitat restoration would be site-specific and would be influenced by the type of siltation and rate. Long-term or permanent addition of fine particles would lead to re-classification of this biotope type (see physical change pressures). The additions of silts to a Spisula solida bed in Waterford Harbour (Republic of Ireland) from earthworks further upstream, for example, reduced the extent of the bed (Fahy et al., 2003). No information was provided on the depth of any deposits.

Bijkerk (1988, results cited from Essink, 1999) indicated that the maximal overburden through which small bivalves could migrate was 20 cm in sand for Donax and approximately 40 cm in mud for Tellina sp. and approximately 50 cm in sand. No further information was available on the rates of survivorship or the time taken to reach the surface. Little direct evidence was found to assess the impact of this pressure at the benchmark level. Powilleit et al. (2009) studied the response of the polychaete Nephtys hombergii to smothering. This species successfully migrated to the surface of 32-41 cm deposited sediment layer of till or sand/till mixture and restored contact with the overlying water. The high escape potential could partly be explained by the heterogeneous texture of the till and sand/till mixture with ‘voids’. While crawling upward to the new sediment surfaces burrowing velocities of up to 20 cm/day were recorded for Nephtys hombergii. Similarly, Bijkerk (1988, results cited from Essink 1999) indicated that the maximal overburden through which species could migrate was 60 cm through mud for Nephtys and 90 cm through sand. No further information was available on the rates of survivorship or the time taken to reach the surface.

The venerid bivalves are shallow burrowing infauna and active suspension feeders and therefore require their siphons to be above the sediment surface in order to maintain a feeding and respiration current. Kranz (1972, cited in Maurer et al., 1986) reported that shallow burying siphonate suspension feeders are typically able to escape smothering with 10-50 cm of their native sediment and relocate to their preferred depth by burrowing. Smothering will result in temporary cessation of feeding and respiration. The energetic cost may impair growth and reproduction but is unlikely to cause mortality (Raymond, 2008).

Nephtys species are highly mobile within the sediment. Vader (1964) observed that Nephtys hombergii relocated throughout the tidal cycle. Allen & Moore (1987) found that Nephtys cirrosa was strongly associated with unstable sediments. This species was characterized by Gittenberger & Van Loon (2011) in their index of sedimentation tolerance as a Group IV species: ‘Although they are sensitive to strong fluctuations in sedimentation, their populations recover relatively quickly and even benefit. This causes their population sizes to increase significantly in areas after a strong fluctuation in sedimentation’ (Gittenberger & Van Loon, 2011). The characterizing bivalve Tellina pygmaea and the polychaetes Spio filicornis and Spiophanes bombyx were also assigned to this group. Lumbrineris latreilli was characterized as AMBI sedimentation Group III: ‘Species insensitive to higher amounts of sedimentation, but don’t easily recover from strong fluctuations in sedimentation’ (Gittenberger & Van Loon, 2011). Glycera alba and Glycera lapidum were categorized as AMBI sedimentation Group II: ‘Species sensitive to high sedimentation. They prefer to live in areas with some sedimentation, but don’t easily recover from strong fluctuations in sedimentation’ (Gittenberger & Van Loon, 2011).

Sensitivity assessment. This biotope is exposed to tidal streams which may remove some sediments, but the bivalves and polychaetes are likely to be able to survive short periods under sediments and to reposition. However, as the pressure benchmark refers to fine material, this may be cohesive and species characteristic of sandy habitats may be less adapted to move through this
than sands. Biotope resistance is assessed as 'Medium' as some mortality of characterizing and associated species may occur. Biotope resilience is assessed as 'High' and biotope sensitivity is assessed as 'Low'.

**Smoothering and siltation rate changes (heavy)**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q: High</th>
<th>A: High</th>
<th>C: NR</th>
<th>Q: High</th>
<th>A: Low</th>
<th>C: Medium</th>
<th>Q: High</th>
<th>A: Low</th>
<th>C: Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Bijkerk (1988, results cited from Essink, 1999) indicated that the maximal overburden through which small bivalves could migrate was 20 cm in sand for *Donax* and approximately 40 cm in mud for *Tellina* sp. and approximately 50 cm in sand. No further information was available on the rates of survivorship or the time taken to reach the surface.

**Sensitivity assessment.** The character of the overburden is an important factor determining the degree of vertical migration of buried bivalves. Individuals are more likely to escape from a covering similar to the sediments in which the species is found than a different type. Resistance is assessed as 'Low' as few individuals are likely to reposition. Resilience is assessed as 'Medium' and sensitivity is assessed as 'Medium'.

**Litter**  
Not assessed (NA)

**Electromagnetic changes**  
No evidence (NEv)

**Underwater noise changes**  
Not relevant (NR)

**Introduction of light or shading**  
Not relevant (NR)

Macroalgae may be present in this biotope (JNCC, 2015), and changes in light levels and shading may alter habitat suitability for these species. Other burrowing invertebrate species such as the bivalves and polychaetes may possess rudimentary eyes and be able to perceive light and dark. Changes in light levels are not considered likely to affect adult stages, although little evidence is available to support this conclusion. This pressure is therefore assessed as 'Not relevant'.

**Barrier to species movement**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q: Low</th>
<th>A: NR</th>
<th>C: NR</th>
<th>Q: High</th>
<th>A: High</th>
<th>C: High</th>
<th>Q: Low</th>
<th>A: Low</th>
<th>C: Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The key characterizing bivalve species produce pelagic larvae as do many of the polychaete...
species. Barriers that reduce the degree of tidal excursion may alter larval supply to suitable habitats from source populations. Conversely, the presence of barriers may enhance local population supply by preventing the loss of larvae from enclosed habitats. As the bivalve species characterizing the biotope are widely distributed and produce large numbers of larvae capable of long distance transport and survival, resistance to this pressure is assessed as 'High' and resilience as 'High' by default. This biotope is therefore considered to be 'Not sensitive'. Some species such as *Spiro fimbriatum* and *Lumbrineris latreillii* that occur within the biotope have benthic dispersal strategies (via egg masses laid on the surface) and water transport is not a key method of dispersal over wide distances.

**Death or injury by collision**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

'Not relevant' to seabed habitats. NB. Collision by grounding vessels is addressed under 'surface abrasion'.

**Biological Pressures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic modification &amp; translocation of indigenous species</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key characterizing species within this biotope are not cultivated or translocated. This pressure is therefore considered 'Not relevant' to this biotope group.

**Introduction or spread of invasive non-indigenous species**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Few invasive non-indigenous species may be able to colonize mobile sands, due to the high-levels of sediment disturbance. However, two species may be of concern. The slipper limpet *Crepidula fornicatea* may settle on stones in substrates and hard surfaces such as bivalve shells and can sometimes form dense carpets which can smother bivalves and alter the seabed, making the habitat unsuitable for larval settlement. Dense aggregations trap suspended silt, faeces and pseudofaeces altering the benthic habitat. Where slipper limpet stacks are abundant, few other bivalves can live amongst them (Fretter & Graham, 1981; Blanchard, 1997). Muddy and mixed sediments in wave sheltered areas are probably optimal, but *Crepidula fornicatea* has been recorded from a wide variety of habitats including clean sands and areas subject to moderately strong tidal streams (Blanchard, 1997; De Montaudouin & Sauriau, 1999). Bohn et al. (2015) report that in the Milford Haven Waterway (MHW), south west Wales, UK, highest densities were found in areas of high gravel content (grain sizes 16-256 mm), suggesting that the availability of this substrata type...
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is beneficial for its establishment.

The colonial ascidian *Didemnum vexillum* is present in the UK but appears to be restricted to artificial surfaces such as pontoons, this species may, however, have the potential to colonize and smother offshore gravel habitats. Valentine *et al.* (2007) describe how *Didemnum* sp. appear to have rapidly colonized gravel areas on the Georges Bank (US/Canada boundary). Colonies can coalesce to form large mats that may cover more than 50% of the seabed in parts. Areas of mobile sand bordered communities of *Didemnum* sp. and these, therefore, do not appear to be suitable habitats (Valentine *et al.*, 2007).

Although not currently established in UK waters, the whelk *Rapana venosa* may spread to UK habitats from Europe. Both *Rapana venosa* and the introduced oyster drill *Urosalpinx cinerea* predate on bivalves and could therefore negatively affect the characterizing bivalve species.

**Sensitivity assessment.** The sediments characterizing this biotope are likely to be too mobile or otherwise unsuitable for most of the recorded invasive non-indigenous species currently recorded in the UK. The slipper limpet may colonize this habitat resulting in habitat change and potentially classification to the biotope which is found in similar habitats SS.SMx.IMx.CreAsAn. *Didemnum* sp. and non-native predatory gastropods may also emerge as a threat to this biotope, although more mobile sands may exclude *Didemnum*. Based on *Crepidula fornicata*, biotope resistance is assessed as ‘None’ and resilience as ‘Very Low’ (as removal of established non-native is unlikely), so biotope sensitivity is assessed as ‘High’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Introduction of microbial pathogens</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Not sensitive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Populations of the characterizing species may be subject to a variety of diseases and parasites, but evidence for the characterizing bivalves is limited. Symbionts affecting clams include viruses, fungi, prokaryotes, protozoans and metazoans (López-Flores *et al.*, 2004). In general, no obvious host response was described associated to ciliates (López-Flores *et al.*, 2004). Bacterial diseases are frequently found in molluscs during their larval stages, but seem to be relatively insignificant in populations of adult animals (López-Flores *et al.*, 2004). This may be due to the primary defence mechanisms of molluscs, phagocytosis and encapsulation, which fight against small-sized pathogens, and whose resistance may be age related (Sinderman, 1990 from López-Flores *et al.*, 2004).

Berrilli *et al.* (2000) conducted a parasitological survey of the bivalve *Chamelea gallina* in natural beds of the Adriatic Sea, where anomalous mortalities had been observed in 1997-1999. The occurrence of protozoans belonging to the families Porosporidae, Hemispeiridae and Trichodiniidae were recorded. Porosporidae of the genus *Nematopsis*, present with 4 species, showed a prevalence of 100%. The results suggested that severe infections of protozoans of the genus *Nematopsis* could cause a non-negligible respiratory sufferance, with a possible role in the decline of the natural banks of *Chamelea gallina* (Berrilli *et al.*, 2000).

**Sensitivity assessments.** Pathogens may cause mortality in bivalves and there may be a minor decline in species richness or abundance in the biotope. As there is no evidence for mass mortalities of characterizing species that would alter biotope classification, biotope resistance is assessed as ‘Medium’. Biotope resilience is assessed as ‘High’, as changes may fall within natural population variability and a recognisable biotope is likely to be present after two years. Biotope
sensitivity is therefore assessed as ‘Low’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Removal of target species</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

A number of the larger bivalve species that may be associated with this biotope are targeted by commercial fishers in some parts of their range. These include *Chamelea gallina* (Ballarin et al., 2003); *Spisula solida* (Fahy et al., 2003; Joaquim et al., 2008); *Glycymeris glycymeris* and *Paphia* spp. (Savina & Pouvreau, 2004); *Ensis* spp., *Donax* spp. and *Pharus* spp. (Chicharo et al., 2002). In targeted areas, the populations of fished bivalves may be depleted, for example, fishing has led to declines in *Spisula solida* (Joaquim et al., 2008; Fahy et al., 2003).

The amphioxus, *Branchiostoma lanceolatum* may occur in this biotope. In China, *Branchiostoma lanceolatum* is harvested for food (MacGinitie & MacGinitie, 1949; Kozloff, 1990); a 35 metric ton harvest, representing about 1 billion individuals, was recorded by a single Chinese lancelet fishery (Ruppert & Barnes, 1994). An equivalent fishery is not present in the UK.

**Sensitivity assessment.** In general dredges that are used to target bivalves are likely to be efficient at removing targeted species. Removal of commercially targeted bivalves may lead to biotope reclassification based on the dominance of polychaetes to a similar biotope such as SS.SCS.CCS.MedLumVen or SS.SCS.ICS.CumCset. Biotope resistance, based on the characterizing bivalves is assessed as ‘Low’. Undersized juveniles may be returned and can re-burrow but are likely to suffer from stress. Targeted removal of adult bivalves within the biotope may allow successful recruitment of juveniles where intra-specific competition for space and food and possibly consumption of larvae has prevented successful spatfall. Some species such as *Glycymeris glycymeris* are characteristic of habitats with low levels of competition and may benefit from removal of other species. Biotope resilience is assessed as ‘Medium’, as recruitment in many bivalve species is episodic and unpredictable. Biotope sensitivity is therefore assessed as ‘Medium’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Removal of non-target species</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Species within the biotope are not functionally dependent on each other, although biological interactions will play a role in structuring the biological assemblage through predation and competition. Removal of adults may support recruitment of juveniles by reducing competition for space and consumption of larvae. Animals caught as by-catch may be returned to the sea but may be stressed or have died from exposure on the deck (Gaspar & Monteiro, 1999), or be returned to unsuitable habitats reducing survival and/or altering the distribution of species (Gaspar et al., 2002).

Shellfish dredges targeting *Spisula solida* also remove crustaceans and other bivalves including *Donax vittatus* and *Tellina tenuis* as well as undersized juveniles as by-catch (Leitão et al., 2009). Loss of the characterizing and associated species as by-catch would alter the character of the biotope, for example the removal of the characterizing bivalves; tube-dwelling polychaetes such as *Lanice conchilega* and macroalgae from surface sediments could lead to reclassification of the biotope as a disturbed, polychaete dominated type such as SS.SCS.ICS.CumCset.

Removal of species would also reduce the ecological services provided by these species such as
secondary production and nutrient cycling.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Species within the biotope are relatively sedentary or slow moving, although the infaunal position may protect some burrowing species from removal. Biotope resistance is therefore assessed as 'Low' and resilience as 'High', as the habitat is likely to be directly affected by removal and some species will recolonize rapidly. Some variability in species recruitment, abundance and composition is natural and therefore a return to a recognizable biotope should occur within 2 years. Repeated chronic removal would, however, impact recovery.
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