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**Summary**

**UK and Ireland classification**

- **EUNIS 2008**  A3.112  
  *Alaria esculenta* forest with dense anemones and crustose sponges on extremely exposed infralittoral bedrock

- **JNCC 2015**  IR.HIR.KFaR.AlaAnCrSp
  *Alaria esculenta* forest with dense anemones and crustose sponges on extremely exposed infralittoral bedrock

- **JNCC 2004**  IR.HIR.KFaR.AlaAnCrSp
  *Alaria esculenta* forest with dense anemones and crustose sponges on extremely exposed infralittoral bedrock

- **1997 Biotope**  IR.EIR.KFaR.AlaAnSC
  *Alaria esculenta* forest with dense anemones and sponge crusts on extremely exposed infralittoral bedrock

**Description**

This biotope has only been recorded from Rockall, where *Alaria* appears to replace *L. hyperborea* as the dominant kelp forest species on the extremely wave exposed steep and vertical rock. Some
Laminaria is reported to occur mixed with Alaria on the nearby Helen's reef. Beneath the Alaria, the rock surface is covered by a dense turf of anemones (such as Sagartia elegans, Phellia gausapata and Corynactis viridis) and encrusting sponges. Tubularia indivisa also occurs, but it does not form such a dense turf as in shallower waters. Cryptopleura ramosa is the dominant red seaweed on horizontal surfaces. This zone extends from 14 m - 35 m. Above this zone (about 5 m to 13 m) Alaria still dominates, but it more closely resembles the typical sublittoral fringe Alaria biotope (EIR.Ala.My), though it has a very dense turf of small hydroids and few foliose algae. Towards the lower part of this Alaria forest (30 m to 35 m) the Alaria thins and the rock surface is characterized by a dense turf of red algae.

Depth range
10-20 m, 20-30 m, 30-50 m

Additional information
None entered

Listed By
- none -

Further information sources
Search on:

G G G JNCC
Sensitivity characteristics of the habitat and relevant characteristic species

IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala and IR.HIR.KFaR.AlAnCrSp (plus associated sub-biotopes) are characterized by the northern/boreal kelp *Alaria esculenta* and are indicative of very wave exposed sublittoral bedrock. IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala occurs predominantly on sublittoral fringe bedrock to a depth of 1-2 m. However, at extremely exposed sites wave action can prevent competition from *Laminaria hyperborea* in the infralittoral zone and the *Alaria esculenta* defined biotopes IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala.Myt and IR.HIR.KFaR.AlAnCrSp can extend to a depth of 15-35 m. In slightly less wave exposed conditions *Laminaria digitata* can compete with *Alaria esculenta* and in the sub-biotope; IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala.Ldig, the two species form a mixed canopy.

The understory community beneath *Alaria esculenta* canopies is defined by the degree of wave exposure at the site. Common understory species across *Alaria esculenta* biotopes are encrusting coralline algae and *Corallina officinalis* turf. IR.HIR.KFaR.AlAnCrSp has only been recorded on steep/vertical bedrock at Rockall, Scotland. Extreme wave exposure at Rockall excludes *Laminaria hyperborea* and IR.HIR.KFaR.AlAnCrSp extends from 14-35 m, and the rock surface is covered by a dense turf of anthozoans such as *Sagartia elegans*, *Phellia gausapata* and *Corynactis viridis*, encrusting sponges and coralline algae. In the sub-biotope IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala.Myt, *Mytilus edulis* is an abundant component of the understory, while patches of anthozoans and the hydroid *Tubularia* spp. occur in more wave-surged areas. In the mixed *Alaria esculenta & Laminaria digitata* biotope IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala.Ldig, the red seaweeds; *Palmaria palmata*, *Mastocarpus stellatus* and *Chondrus crispus* are predominant features of the understory.

In undertaking this assessment of sensitivity, an account is taken of knowledge of the biology of all characterizing species/taxa in the biotope. In this sensitivity assessment, *Alaria esculenta* is the primary focus of research, as in the dominant characteristic species, without which the biotope would not be recognized. However, *Laminaria digitata*, plus understory species *Corallina officinalis*, encrusting algae, *Mytilus edulis* and red seaweeds also define IR.HIR.KFaR.AlAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala plus their associated sub-biotopes. Examples of important species groups are mentioned where appropriate.

Resilience and recovery rates of habitat

*Alaria esculenta* is a perennial kelp found in the North Atlantic (Birkett *et al.*, 1998) which dominates the sublittoral fringe in areas exposed to severe wave action or where water surges along the sides of gullies or steep/vertical bedrock (Lewis, 1964; Connor *et al.*, 2004). In extreme wave action *Laminaria digitata & Laminaria hyperborea* are likely to become damaged and die back, whereas morphological features and high growth rates allow *Alaria esculenta* to survive in such conditions (Birkett *et al.*, 1998b). *Alaria esculenta* has a compact holdfast, a flexible "short" stipe and a flexible frond with a conspicuous reinforcing midrib (Birkett *et al.*, 1998b). Maximum growth rates are recorded in April-May which can exceed 20 cm/month (Birkett *et al.*, 1998b). From June-July growth rates slow and continual erosion along the frond margins can reduce the sporophyte to a holdfast, stipe and short length of the blade, in which state the sporophyte overwinters. In extremely wave exposed conditions, especially in winter months, the blade may be reduced to just the midrib. The sporophyte can reach a total length of 4m (Werner & Kraan, 2004), fronds can reach a total length of 2 m, however, growth rates are locally variable and are more typically 30-90 cm in length (Birkett *et al.*, 1998). *Alaria esculenta* can reach maturity rapidly in 10-14 months and lives for 4-7 years (Birket *et al.*, 1998; Baardseth, 1956).
*Alaria esculenta* has a heteromorphic life history (Fredersorf et al., 2009). Between November to March a vast number of meiotic haploid zoospores are released from sori located on sporophylls (found at the top of the stipe). Zoospore dispersal is greatly influenced by local water movements and zoospore densities. Laminarian spores also need to settle in high density so that the resultant gametophytes are close enough to cross-fertilize (Fredriksen et al., 1995). Recruitment of *Alaria esculenta* may, therefore, be influenced by the proximity of mature sporophytes producing viable zoospores (Kain, 1979; Fredriksen et al., 1995). Laminarians are expected to disperse zoospores over considerable distances. However, *Alaria esculenta* may have a lower dispersal capacity than other Laminarins due to the basal location of the sporophylls Norton (1992). Sundene (1962) agreed with Norton (1992) in an *Alaria esculenta* translocation experiment conducted in a Norwegian fjord, observing that *Alaria esculenta* germlings were restricted to within 10m of the parental source.

*Alaria esculenta* is an opportunistic colonizing species (Kain 1975; Hawkins & Harkin 1985; Hill 1993; Engelen, 2010). *Alaria esculenta* can settle on bare surfaces, including mobile boulders and in deeper water than the infralittoral fringe *Alaria esculenta* often appears early in the algal succession (c. 3 months after clearance of dominant algae) before being out-competed by other kelp species (in moderately wave exposed shores). During kelp canopy removal experiments in the Isle of Man, Hawkins & Harkin (1985) found that in moderately wave exposed areas cleared of *Laminaria digitata* (the dominant canopy forming species). *Alaria esculenta* became the dominant canopy algae within 9 months (October - June) and *Laminaria digitata* did not re-establish dominance within the study period (15 months). In areas of moderate to sheltered wave exposure *Alaria esculenta* colonized the blocks within 1 month of clearance and reached 25% coverage within 5 months but within 7 months *Laminaria digitata* had out-competed *Alaria esculenta* and re-established dominance within the community reaching ~90-95% coverage. Kain (1975) conducted a similar experiment to Hawkins & Harkin (1985), however over a longer time period (>2 years). *Laminaria digitata* was cleared from moderately wave exposed concrete blocks at Port Erin, Isle of Man, and the subsequent “succession” of algae communities was documented. Following clearance *Laminaria digitata* was considered re-established two years after removal, while the understorey red seaweed species returned one year later. Engelen (2010) observed a similar recovery time in Brittany, France. Patches of *Laminaria digitata* (0.25 m²) were removed. *Laminaria digitata* returned to conditions prior to removal within 18-24 months, although competition for space by *Saccorhiza polyschides* reduced recovery rates in the first year of recolonization. Engelen (2010) stated that *Laminaria digitata* forest recovery rates varied between seasons, with autumn recovery being more rapid than spring (taking a minimum of 12 months).

The dispersal of *Laminaria digitata*’s spores and subsequent successful recruitment has been recorded 600 m from reproductive individuals (Chapman, 1981). The growth rate of *Laminaria digitata* changes with the seasons. Growth is rapid from February to July, slower in August to January, and occurs diffusely in the lamina (blade; Kain, 1979). Zoospores are produced at temperatures lower than 18°C with a minimum of 10 weeks a year between 5-18°C needed to ensure spore formation (Bartsch, 2013). Thus, temperature and by default season impacts the level of reproductive activity. Furthermore, experimental clearance experiments of *Laminaria digitata* (Kain 1975; Hawkins & Harkin 1985; Hill 1993; Engelen, 2010) found that following clearance *Laminaria digitata* re-colonization takes 12-24 months. Interspecific competition from ephemeral algae was also found to slow recovery times (Engelen, 2010).

*Corallina officinalis* produces spores over a protracted period and can colonize artificial substratum within one week in the intertidal (Harkin & Lindbergh 1977; Littler & Kauker 1984). The crustose base enables *Corallina officinalis* to survive extreme wave exposure and damage (loss of fronds),
and to take advantage (colonize) of space left after winter storms have removed competing macroalgae (Littler & Kauker 1984). The mobile interstitial fauna of the coralline turf is reduced by trampling (Brown & Taylor 1989) but is likely to recruit to or recolonize the turf from the surrounding communities. Encrusting and erect corallines are also known to stimulate the settlement of a variety of marine invertebrate larvae and algal spores. Corallina officinalis is capable of colonizing new substratum rapidly. In experimental plots, 15 percent cover of fronds returned within 3 months (Littler & Kauker 1985) and Brown & Taylor (1999) noted that the articulated coralline algal turf community on a New Zealand shore returned to normal levels within 3 months of trampling events, although they suggested that a return to its previous cover may take longer.

Resilience assessment. Alaria esculenta is an opportunistic and rapidly colonizing species (see above) capable of growing 20 cm/month in optimal conditions, reaching maturity within 10-14 months, and often appearing early in the algal succession (c. 3 months after clearance of dominant algae). In canopy removal experiments in the Isle of Man, Hawkins & Harkin (1985) found that areas cleared of Laminaria digitata (moderately exposed) Alaria esculenta became the dominant canopy algae within 9 months (October - June). Corallina officinalis is capable of colonizing new substratum rapidly. In experimental plots 15 percent cover of fronds returned within 3 months (Littler & Kauker, 1985) and Brown & Taylor (1999) noted that the articulated coralline algal turf community on a New Zealand shore returned to normal levels within 3 months of trampling events, although they suggested that a return to its previous cover may take longer. Therefore general resilience of IR.HIR.KFaR.AlaAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala plus associated sub-biotopes has been assessed as High.

The resilience and the ability to recover from human induced pressures is a combination of the environmental conditions of the site, the frequency (repeated disturbances versus a one-off event) and the intensity of the disturbance. Recovery of impacted populations will always be mediated by stochastic events and processes acting over different scales including, but not limited to, local habitat conditions, further impacts and processes such as larval supply and recruitment between populations. Full recovery is defined as the return to the state of the habitat that existed prior to impact. This does not necessarily mean that every component species has returned to its prior condition, abundance or extent but that the relevant functional components are present and the habitat is structurally and functionally recognizable as the initial habitat of interest. It should be noted that the recovery rates are only indicative of the recovery potential.

Hydrological Pressures

Temperature increase (local) | Resistance | Resilience | Sensitivity
--- | --- | --- | ---
None | High | Medium | None

Q: Medium A: High C: Low

Alaria esculenta is a northern/boreal species that has been recorded from Brittany, France to Northern Norway (Birkett et al., 1998). Sea temperature regulates metabolism and reproduction, and defines the regional distribution of Alaria esculenta (Fredersdorf et al., 2009). The southern limit of Alaria esculenta has been defined at the 20°C isotherm (Munda & Lüning, 1977; Fredersdorf et al., 2009), however, it is common north of the 16°C isotherm (Munda & Lüning, 1977). As a result, of this upper temperature threshold, Alaria esculenta is largely absent from the southern North Sea and English channel where summer temperatures can exceed 16°C.

Munda & Lüning (1977) observed temperatures of 16-17°C sustained over 2 weeks in Helgoland,
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Germany, were lethal to resident Alaria esculenta. Experimental observations showed that acute exposure to ≥21°C is lethal to Alaria esculenta causing bleaching and disintegration (Sundene, 1962; Fredersdorf et al., 2009). At its northern range edge (Svalbard) it is a prominent macroalga on sublittoral fringe bedrock. At these latitudes, average summer temperature can reach 5°C, with an average annual sea temperature of 3°C (1980-2014, Beszczynska-Möller & Dye, 2013). Experimental observations conducted by Fredersdorf et al., (2009) found the optimal temperature for sporophyte photosynthesis was within the range of 13-17°C, however, the optimal temperature for Alaria esculenta germination is 2-12°C (Fredersdorf et al., 2009).

Alaria esculenta has an approximate mid-range within southern Norway (60 deg to 65 deg North) (Birket et al., 1998), and as such IR.HIR.KFaR.AlA and IR.HIR.KFaR.AlAAnCrSp (plus associated sub-biotopes) have a southerly distribution when considering the geographic distribution of Alaria esculenta. Throughout the UK northern to southern Sea Surface Temperature (SST) ranges from 8-16°C in summer and 6-13°C in winter (Beszczynska-Möller & Dye, 2013). The available evidence suggests that the effects of an increase in temperature would be seasonally variable, with higher impacts during periods of spore release (Nov-march) and germination. A 5°C increase in temperature for one month may cause high mortality, limit photosynthetic ability plus germination rates. A 2°C increase in temperature for one year may limit germination; however sporophyte photosynthetic ability may not be dramatically affected. Temperature increases of 2/5°C at the southern extreme of Alaria esculenta’ range (Brittany, France) is likely to cause high mortality.

Corallina officinalis may tolerate between minus 4 to 28°C (Lüning, 1990). Abrupt temperature changes (10°C in California, Seapy & Littler 1984; 4.8 to 8.5°C, Hawkins & Hartnoll, 1985) resulted in dramatic declines. However, in both cases recovery was rapid, suggesting that the crustose bases survived. Therefore, both Alaria esculenta and Corallina officinalis are probably intolerant of acute short-term temperature change of 5°C for a month. Long-term change of 2°C may reduce the southern limit of the population of Alaria esculenta.

Sensitivity assessment. Resistance to the pressure is considered ‘None’, and resilience ‘High’. The sensitivity of this biotope to an increase in temperature has been assessed as ‘Medium’. This sensitivity assessment takes into account a temperature increase of 5°C for one month. The effects of a 2°C increase in temperature for one year is likely to have less of an impact. In the later scenario, resistance would be assessed as “Medium”, and resilience “High”. Sensitivity would be assessed as “Low”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature decrease</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(local)</td>
<td>Q: High A: Medium C: Medium</td>
<td>Q: High A: Low C: High</td>
<td>Q: High A: Low C: Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alaria esculenta is a northern/boreal species that has been recorded from Brittany, France to Northern Norway (Birkett et al., 1998b). Sea temperature has been cited as an influential abiotic stressor; responsible for regulating metabolism and reproduction, plus defining the regional distribution of Alaria esculenta (Fredersdorf et al., 2009). At Alaria’s northern range edge (Svalbard) it is a prominent macro-algae on sub-littoral fringe bedrock. At these latitudes, average summer temperature can reach 5°C, and average annual sea temperature 3°C (1980-2014, Beszczynska-Möller & Dye, 2013). Experimental observations conducted by Fredersdorf et al. (2009) found the optimal temperature for sporophyte photosynthesis was within the range of 13-17°C, however, the optimal temperature for Alaria esculenta germination is 2-12°C (Fredersdorf et al., 2009).

Alaria esculenta has an approximate mid-range within southern Norway (60 deg to 65 deg North) (Birkett et al., 1998b), and as such IR.HIR.KFaR.AlA and IR.HIR.KFaR.AlAAnCrSp (plus associated sub-biotopes) have a southerly distribution when considering the geographic distribution of Alaria esculenta. Throughout the UK northern to southern Sea Surface Temperature (SST) ranges from 8-16°C in summer and 6-13°C in winter (Beszczynska-Möller & Dye, 2013). The available evidence suggests that the effects of an increase in temperature would be seasonally variable, with higher impacts during periods of spore release (Nov-march) and germination. A 5°C increase in temperature for one month may cause high mortality, limit photosynthetic ability plus germination rates. A 2°C increase in temperature for one year may limit germination; however sporophyte photosynthetic ability may not be dramatically affected. Temperature increases of 2/5°C at the southern extreme of Alaria esculenta’ range (Brittany, France) is likely to cause high mortality.

Corallina officinalis may tolerate between minus 4 to 28°C (Lüning, 1990). Abrupt temperature changes (10°C in California, Seapy & Littler 1984; 4.8 to 8.5°C, Hawkins & Hartnoll, 1985) resulted in dramatic declines. However, in both cases recovery was rapid, suggesting that the crustose bases survived. Therefore, both Alaria esculenta and Corallina officinalis are probably intolerant of acute short-term temperature change of 5°C for a month. Long-term change of 2°C may reduce the southern limit of the population of Alaria esculenta.

Sensitivity assessment. Resistance to the pressure is considered ‘None’, and resilience ‘High’. The sensitivity of this biotope to an increase in temperature has been assessed as ‘Medium’. This sensitivity assessment takes into account a temperature increase of 5°C for one month. The effects of a 2°C increase in temperature for one year is likely to have less of an impact. In the later scenario, resistance would be assessed as “Medium”, and resilience “High”. Sensitivity would be assessed as “Low”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature decrease</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(local)</td>
<td>Q: High A: Medium C: Medium</td>
<td>Q: High A: Low C: High</td>
<td>Q: High A: Low C: Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alaria esculenta is a northern/boreal species that has been recorded from Brittany, France to Northern Norway (Birkett et al., 1998b). Sea temperature has been cited as an influential abiotic stressor; responsible for regulating metabolism and reproduction, plus defining the regional distribution of Alaria esculenta (Fredersdorf et al., 2009). At Alaria’s northern range edge (Svalbard) it is a prominent macro-algae on sub-littoral fringe bedrock. At these latitudes, average summer temperature can reach 5°C, and average annual sea temperature 3°C (1980-2014, Beszczynska-Möller & Dye, 2013). Experimental observations conducted by Fredersdorf et al. (2009) found the optimal temperature for sporophyte photosynthesis was within the range of 13-17°C, however, the optimal temperature for Alaria esculenta germination is 2-12°C (Fredersdorf et al., 2009).

Alaria esculenta has an approximate mid-range within southern Norway (60 deg to 65 deg North) (Birkett et al., 1998b), and as such IR.HIR.KFaR.AlA and IR.HIR.KFaR.AlAAnCrSp (plus associated sub-biotopes) have a southerly distribution when considering the geographic distribution of Alaria esculenta. Throughout the UK northern to southern Sea Surface Temperature (SST) ranges from 8-16°C in summer and 6-13°C in winter (Beszczynska-Möller & Dye, 2013). The available evidence suggests that the effects of an increase in temperature would be seasonally variable, with higher impacts during periods of spore release (Nov-march) and germination. A 5°C increase in temperature for one month may cause high mortality, limit photosynthetic ability plus germination rates. A 2°C increase in temperature for one year may limit germination; however sporophyte photosynthetic ability may not be dramatically affected. Temperature increases of 2/5°C at the southern extreme of Alaria esculenta’ range (Brittany, France) is likely to cause high mortality.

Corallina officinalis may tolerate between minus 4 to 28°C (Lüning, 1990). Abrupt temperature changes (10°C in California, Seapy & Littler 1984; 4.8 to 8.5°C, Hawkins & Hartnoll, 1985) resulted in dramatic declines. However, in both cases recovery was rapid, suggesting that the crustose bases survived. Therefore, both Alaria esculenta and Corallina officinalis are probably intolerant of acute short-term temperature change of 5°C for a month. Long-term change of 2°C may reduce the southern limit of the population of Alaria esculenta.
sub-biotopes) have a southerly distribution when considering the geographic distribution of *Alaria esculenta*. Throughout the UK northern to southern Sea Surface Temperature (SST) ranges from 8-16°C in summer and 6-13°C in winter (Beszczynska-Möller & Dye, 2013). A 5°C decrease in temperature for one month at *Alaria esculenta*’ approximate mid-range may affect the photosynthetic ability of sporophytes, however not impact germination and hence recruitment. A 2°C increase in temperature for one year at *Alaria esculenta*’ approximate mid-range is not likely to significantly affect *Alaria esculenta*.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance to the pressure is considered 'High', and resilience 'High'. The sensitivity of this biotope to an increase in temperature has been assessed as 'Not Sensitive'.

### Salinity increase (local)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Q: Medium</th>
<th>A: High C: High</th>
<th>Q: High</th>
<th>A: Low C: High</th>
<th>Q: Medium</th>
<th>A: Low C: High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lüning (1990) suggest that "kelps" are stenohaline, their general tolerance to salinity as a phenotypic group covering 16-50 psu over a 24 hr period. Optimal growth probably occurs between 30-35 psu (MNCR category-Full Salinity) and growth rates are likely to be affected by periodic salinity stress.

Karsten (2007) tested the photosynthetic ability of *Alaria esculenta* under acute 2 & 5 day exposure to salinity treatments ranging from 5-60 psu. A control experiment was also carried at 34 PSU. Between 10-50 psu *Alaria esculenta* showed high photosynthetic ability at 83-94% of the control. Hypersaline treatments with 55-60 psu led to a 30% reduction in photosynthetic ability, ~70% of the control level. At 5 psu *Alaria esculenta* showed a low photosynthetic ability at 15.8% of the control. After 5 days at 5 psu all *Alaria esculenta* specimens were bleached and none survived. Karsten (2007) suggested that *Alaria esculenta* photosynthetic ability is highly affected by acute exposure to hyposaline conditions (<10 psu). The effect of long-term salinity changes (>5 days) or the effect of salinity >60 psu on *Alaria esculenta*’ photosynthetic ability was not tested. The experiment was conducted in the Arctic, and the authors suggest that at extremely low water temperatures (1-5°C) macro-algal acclimation to rapid salinity changes could be slower than at temperate latitudes. It is, therefore, possible that *Alaria esculenta* maybe be able to acclimate to salinity changes more effectively and quicker in UK waters, however, evidence for this is limited.

*Corallina officinalis* is restricted to full salinity waters in the Baltic and grows maximally between 33 and 38 psu in Texan lagoons (Kinne 1971). This biotope is likely to be exposed to short-term freshwater runoff at low tide but is likely to be intolerant of long-term changes in salinity, which are likely to depress its upper limit and reduce the extent of the population.

**Sensitivity assessment.** IR.HIR.KFaR.AlaAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala plus associated sub-biotopes have been recorded exclusively in full salinity (30-40‰) (Connor *et al.*, 2004). Karsten (2007) suggests that at salinities ranging from 10-50 psu *Alaria esculenta* photosynthetic ability was high. At salinities >50 psu, photosynthetic ability was reduced by 30% but no mortality of the specimens was recorded. Resistance to the pressure is considered 'Medium', as other characterizing species (e.g. sponges, ascidians) are likely to be more sensitive to hypersaline conditions and resilience 'High'. The sensitivity of this biotope to an increase in salinity has been assessed as 'Low'.

### Salinity decrease (local)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Q: Medium</th>
<th>A: High C: High</th>
<th>Q: High</th>
<th>A: Low C: High</th>
<th>Q: Medium</th>
<th>A: Low C: High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lüning (1990) suggest that “kelps” are stenohaline, their general tolerance to salinity as a phenotypic group covering 16-50 psu over a 24 hr period. Optimal growth probably occurs between 30-35 psu (MNCR category-Full Salinity) and growth rates are likely to be affected by periodic salinity stress.

Karsten (2007) tested the photosynthetic ability of *Alaria esculenta* under acute 2 & 5 day exposure to salinity treatments ranging from 5-60 psu. A control experiment was also carried at 34 PSU. Between 10-50 psu *Alaria esculenta* showed high photosynthetic ability at 83-94% of the control. Hypersaline treatments with 55-60 psu led to a 30% reduction in photosynthetic ability, ~70% of the control level. At 5 psu *Alaria esculenta* showed a low photosynthetic ability at 15.8% of the control. After 5 days at 5 psu all *Alaria esculenta* specimens were bleached and none survived. Karsten (2007) suggested that *Alaria esculenta* photosynthetic ability is highly affected by acute exposure to hyposaline conditions (<10 psu). The effect of long-term salinity changes (>5 days) or the effect of salinity >60 psu on *Alaria esculenta*’ photosynthetic ability was not tested. The experiment was conducted in the Arctic, and the authors suggest that at extremely low water temperatures (1-5°C) macro-algal acclimation to rapid salinity changes could be slower than at temperate latitudes. It is, therefore, possible that *Alaria esculenta* may be able to acclimate to salinity changes more effectively and quicker in UK waters, however, evidence for this is limited.

*Corallina officinalis* is restricted to full salinity waters in the Baltic and grows maximally between 33 and 38 psu in Texan lagoons (Kinne 1971). This biotope is likely to be exposed to short-term freshwater runoff at low tide but is likely to be intolerant of long-term changes in salinity, which are likely to depress its upper limit and reduce the extent of the population.

**Sensitivity assessment.** IR.HIR.KFaR.AlaAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Al plus associated sub-biotopes have been recorded exclusively in full salinity (30-40‰) (Connor et al., 2004). Karsten (2007) suggests that at salinities ranging from 10-50 PSU *Alaria esculenta* photosynthetic ability was high. At 5 PSU *Alaria esculenta* showed a dramatic decline in photosynthetic ability and after 5 days specimens bleached and did not survive. Sundene (1962) also noted that *Alaria esculenta* sporophytes grew poorly below 25 PSU. A decrease of 1 MNCR salinity scale to “Reduced Salinity” (18-30‰) may reduce growth rates, however not cause high mortality of *Alaria esculenta*. Resistance to the pressure is therefore considered ‘Medium’, as other characterizing species (e.g. sponges, ascidians) are likely to be more sensitive to hyposaline conditions, and resilience ‘High’. The sensitivity of this biotope to an increase in salinity has been assessed as ‘Low’.

**Water flow (tidal current) changes (local)**

- **Medium**: Q: Low A: NR C: NR
- **High**: Q: High A: Low C: High
- **Low**: Q: Low A: NR C: NR

*Alaria esculenta* dominates the sublittoral fringe in areas exposed to severe wave action or where water surges along the sides of gullies/steep bedrock faces (Lewis, 1964; Connor et al., 2004). The high wave exposure that defines IR.HIR.KFaR.AlAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Al plus associated sub-biotopes damages other laminarians, and generally excludes them. In less wave exposed locations *Alaria esculenta* is out-competed by other Laminarians, e.g. *Laminaria digitata* and *Laminaria hyperborea* (Connor et al., 2004).IR.HIR.KFaR.AlAnCrSp and IR.HIR.KFaR.Al plus associated sub-biotopes are recorded within moderately strong (0.5-1.5 m/sec)-weak (<0.5m/sec) tidal streams, but have been recorded in very strong (>3 m/sec) tidal streams. Therefore, while elevated tidal flows (>3 m/sec) may increase *Alaria esculenta* dislodgment (Birkett et al., 1998b).

Increased tidal flow may remove fronds of *Corallina officinalis* however calcification is thought to be
Alaria esculenta forest with dense anemones and crustose sponges on extremely exposed infralittoral bedrock - Marine Life Information Network

Sensitivity assessment. IR.HIR.KFaR.AlAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Al plus associated sub-biotopes are found in a wide range of tidal flows but exclusively in wave disturbed areas, which generally exclude other laminarians. Changes in tidal flow are not likely to independently affect the dominance of Alaria esculenta, however, may affect the understory community. Nevertheless, wave exposure is the dominant source of water movement in these biotope, and a change in water flow of 0.1-0.2 m/s is unlikely to be significant. Therefore, resistance has been assessed as 'High' and resilience 'High'. Sensitivity has been assessed as 'Not Sensitive' at the benchmark level.

**Emergence regime changes**

- **Low**
  - Q: Low A: NR C: NR
  - Q: High A: Low C: High
  - Q: Low A: NR C: NR

An increase in emergence will result in an increased risk of desiccation. Increased immersion may allow IR.HIR.KFaR.Al biotopes to extend higher up the shore. However, Alaria esculenta forest will come under increased competition from Laminaria hyperborea in the shallow infralittoral. In this scenario IR.HIR.KFaR.Al biotope distribution may shift on the shore, however, biotope structure will remain.

Alaria esculenta may extend into the lower eulittoral in extremely wave exposed conditions. However, these marginal populations have a reduced age range in comparison to subtidal populations due to desiccation increasing mortality of Alaria esculenta at low tide. An increase in desiccation is likely to remove Alaria esculenta. The resultant loss of canopy would expose Corallina officinalis turf and macrofaunal crust to desiccation and/or damage by high light intensity (bleaching). Hawkins & Harkin (1985) noted that encrusting corallines and Corallina officinalis often die when their protective algal canopy is removed. Severe damage was noted in Corallina officinalis as a result of unusually hot and sunny weather in the UK summer 1983 (Hawkins & Hartnoll, 1985). Laminaria digitata is likely to be intolerant of desiccation and destruction of its meristem (base of the blade), caused by increased wave action at low tide, will kill the sporophyte. Therefore, both IR.HIR.KFaR.Al.Myt and IR.HIR.KFaR.Al.Ldig are likely to be highly intolerant of increases in desiccation and the upper limit of the population would be depressed. Desiccation is unlikely to be relevant in IR.HIR.KFaR.AlAnCrSp due to its depth (15-35m BCD) (Connor et al., 2004).

Sensitivity assessment. Resistance to this pressure is considered 'Low', and resilience 'High'. The sensitivity of this biotope to a change in emergence is considered as 'Low'.

**Wave exposure changes (local)**

- **None**
  - Q: High A: High C: High

- **High**
  - Q: High A: Low C: High

- **Medium**
  - Q: High A: Low C: High

Alaria esculenta dominates the sublittoral fringe in areas exposed to severe wave action or where water surges along the sides of gullies (Lewis, 1964). A decrease in local wave height will increase...
spatial competition from other laminarians (Connor et al., 2004). Increased wave exposure may remove fronds of Corallina officinalis however calcification is thought to an adaptation to mechanical damage (Little & Kauker 1984) and the fronds grow as a compact (short) turf in wave exposed conditions.

IR.HIR.KFaR.AlaAnCrSp occurs at one site, Rockall, Scotland where extreme oceanic swell excludes Laminaria hyperborea in the infralittoral from 14-35 m. IR.HIR.KFaR.Al. Myt occurs predominantly on sub-littoral fringe bedrock in very exposed to exposed wave exposure. Extremely wave exposed variants of IR.HIR.KFaR.Al. Myt can extend to 15 m BCD where Alaria esculenta replaces Laminaria hyperborea as the assemblage dominant, and Mytillus edulis is a common understory species in the sublittoral fringe variant (Bégin et al., 2004, Connor et al., 2004) but as depth increases Tubularia spp. becomes more abundant. IR.HIR.KFaR.Al. Ldig occurs predominately at exposed-moderately wave exposed sites, where Laminaria digitata can spatially compete with Alaria esculenta (Connor et al., 2004).

Sensitivity assessment. The abundance of Alaria esculenta is highly affected by the degree of wave exposure at a site. Within IR.HIR.KFaR.Al, increasing wave exposure may favour IR.HIR.KFaR.Al. Myt over IR.HIR.KFaR.Al. Ldig (Connor et al. 2004). Further increases in wave exposure may cause damage to Laminaria hyperborea, allowing Alaria esculenta to dominate the infralittoral. Kelp clearance experiments have shown that at moderate or lower wave exposure sites Laminaria digitata can out-compete Alaria esculenta so that a decrease in wave exposure is likely to result in loss of the Alaria dominated biotopes. Alaria dominated biotopes are, therefore, sensitive to any activity or event that reduces incident wave energy. However, a change of 3-5% in significant wave height (the benchmark) is unlikely to be significant in the wave exposed conditions favoured by theses biotopes. Therefore, resistance is recorded as ‘High’, with a ‘High’ resilience, resulting is an assessment of ‘Not sensitive’ at the benchmark level.

Chemical Pressures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition elements &amp; organo-metal contamination</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Not Assessed (NA)]</td>
<td>[Not assessed (NA)]</td>
<td>[Not assessed (NA)]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This pressure is [Not assessed] but evidence is presented where available.

Johnston & Roberts (2009) conducted a meta-analysis, which reviewed 216 papers to assess how a variety of contaminants (including metal contamination) affected six marine habitats (including intertidal and subtidal reefs). A 30-50% reduction in species diversity and richness was identified from all habitats exposed to the contaminant types. Johnston & Roberts (2009) also highlighted that macroalgal communities are relatively tolerant to contamination but that metal and nutrient impacted intertidal communities can have low diversity assemblages which are dominated by opportunistic and fast growing species (Johnston & Roberts, 2009 and references therein).

Mercury (organic > inorganic) is highly toxic to macrophytes (Bryan 1984; Cole et al. 1999). Mercury and copper were lethal at 0.05 mg/l and 0.1 mg/l respectively and toxic at 0.05 mg/l and 0.01 mg/l respectively in Laminaria hyperborea. Zinc and Cadmium were lethal at 5 mg/l and 10 mg/l respectively. The presence of alginates in kelp tissue is thought to sequester heavy metals in a biologically unavailable form. It is likely that laminarians such as Alaria esculenta are relatively
tolerant of heavy metals except at high concentrations at high levels. Little information on heavy metal tolerance of corallines was found.

### Hydrocarbon & PAH contamination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not Assessed (NA)</th>
<th>Not assessed (NA)</th>
<th>Not assessed (NA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

This pressure is **Not assessed** but evidence is presented where available.

The mucilaginous coating on kelp fronds is thought to protect them from coatings of oil. Hydrocarbons in solution reduce photosynthesis and may be algicidal. Reduction in photosynthesis is dependent on the type of oil, its concentration and length of exposure, oil-water mixture and irradiance in experimental trials (Lobban & Harrison, 1994). Subtidal populations are only exposed to oil emulsions or oil adsorbed particles. Kelps are relatively insensitive to dispersants (Birkett et al. 1998) e.g. *Laminaria digitata* exposed to diesel oil at 0.130 mg/l reduced growth by 50% in a 2 year experiment. No growth inhibition was noted at 0.03 mg/l and the plants recovered completely in oil free conditions. *Coralina officinalis*, however, exhibited dramatic bleaching after the *Sea Empress* oil spill and died after the *Torrey Canyon* spill (Crump et al. 1999; Smith 1968). Encrusting corallines and *Coralina officinalis* recovered from the *Sea Empress* spill quickly, bleaching only affecting the fronds or surface of crustose forms. Grazing gastropods, e.g. limpets are highly intolerant of oil spillage and if not killed are narcotinized and washed offshore and/or consumed by predators. The lower littoral populations are likely to be most vulnerable to an oil spill and sublittoral fringe would be particularly affected at low tide. Although *Alaria esculenta* may not be affected severely, the articulated coralline turf may be lost but recover quickly although the red algae may be intolerant. Grazers such as limpets, barnacles and meiofaunal crustaceans may also be lost from the community.

### Synthetic compound contamination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not Assessed (NA)</th>
<th>Not assessed (NA)</th>
<th>Not assessed (NA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

This pressure is **Not assessed** but evidence is presented where available.

Cole et al. (1999) suggested that macrophytes were generally intolerant of herbicides such as atrazine, simazine, diuron and linuron e.g. atrazine was lethal to *Laminaria hyperborea* sporophytes at 1mg/l and suppressed growth at 0.01 mg/l (Hopkin & Kain, 1978). Smith (1968) noted that *Coralina officinalis* was killed in areas of heavy spraying after the *Torrey Canyon* oil spill and affected at 6 m depth in areas of high wave action. High water specimens were more affected than low water specimens, presumably because they are emmersed for longer and had more contact with oil and dispersants. Gastropods are known to be highly sensitive to endocrine disrupters such as TBT. Crustaceans (e.g. amphipods, isopods, ostracods, copepods and barnacles) are also susceptible to endocrine disruption by synthetic chemicals. It is, therefore, likely that some taxa within IR.HIR.KFaR.AlaAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala plus associated sub-biotopes, especially grazing invertebrates and meiofauna will be intolerant of synthetic chemical contamination.

### Radionuclide contamination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not relevant (NR)</th>
<th>Not relevant (NR)</th>
<th>No evidence (NEv)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**No Evidence**
Introduction of other substances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substances</th>
<th>Pressure Benchmark</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not Assessed (NA)</td>
<td>Not assessed (NA)</td>
<td>Not assessed (NA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This pressure is **Not assessed**.

De-oxygenation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substances</th>
<th>Pressure Benchmark</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Not sensitive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reduced oxygen concentrations have been shown to inhibit both photosynthesis and respiration in macroalgae (Kinne, 1977). Despite this, macroalgae are thought to buffer the environmental conditions of low oxygen, thereby acting as a refuge for organisms in oxygen depleted regions especially if the oxygen depletion is short-term (Frieder et al., 2012). A rapid recovery from a state of low oxygen is expected if the conditions are transient, which is likely given the wave exposed distribution of defines IR.HIR.KFaR.AlaAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala plus associated sub-biotopes. If levels do drop below 4 mg/l negative effects on these organisms can be expected with adverse effects occurring below 2 mg/l (Cole et al., 1999). Reduced oxygen levels are likely to inhibit photosynthesis and respiration but not cause a loss of the macroalgae population directly. However, small invertebrate epifauna may be lost, causing a reduction in species richness.

Sensitivity assessment. Due to the mixing experienced in strongly wave exposed environment, resistance has been assessed as “High” resilience as “High”. Sensitivity has been assessed as “**Not Sensitive**” at the pressure benchmark level.

Nutrient enrichment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substances</th>
<th>Pressure Benchmark</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
<td>Not sensitive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Organic enrichment is associated with eutrophication, increased siltation and turbidity (Fletcher 1996). Eutrophication is associated with loss of perennial algae and replacement by mussels or opportunistic algae (Fletcher 1996). Johnston & Roberts (2009) conducted a meta-analysis that reviewed 216 papers to assess how a variety of contaminants (including sewage and nutrient loading) affected 6 marine habitats (including intertidal and subtidal reefs). A 30-50% reduction in species diversity and richness was identified from all habitats exposed to the contaminant types. Johnston & Roberts (2009) also highlighted that macroalgal communities are relatively tolerant to contamination, but that metal and nutrient impacted intertidal communities can have low diversity assemblages which are dominated by opportunistic and fast growing species (Johnston & Roberts, 2009 and references therein). However due to the high wave exposure that defines IR.HIR.KFaR.AlaAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala plus associated sub-biotopes, it is likely that additional organic input to the system may be dispersed out of the biotope’s local vicinity (Johnston & Roberts, 2009). Increased nutrients may favour *Mytilus edulis* in IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala.Myt which may increase in cover and abundance. *Corallina officinalis* is also tolerant of polluted waters (Kindig & Littler, 1980).

Sensitivity assessment. IR.HIR.KFaR.AlaAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala plus associated sub-biotopes are however considered “**Not Sensitive**” at the benchmark level, which assumes compliance with good status as defined by the WFD.

Organic enrichment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substances</th>
<th>Pressure Benchmark</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q: High A: Medium C: High
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Organic enrichment is associated with eutrophication, increased siltation and turbidity (Fletcher 1996). Eutrophication is associated with loss of perennial algae and replacement by mussels or opportunist algae (Fletcher 1996). Johnston & Roberts (2009) conducted a meta-analysis that reviewed 216 papers to assess how a variety of contaminants (including sewage and nutrient loading) affected six marine habitats (including intertidal and subtidal reefs). A 30-50% reduction in species diversity and richness was identified from all habitats exposed to the contaminant types. Johnston & Roberts (2009) also highlighted that macro-algal communities are relatively tolerant to contamination, but that contaminated intertidal communities can have low diversity assemblages which are dominated by opportunistic and fast growing species (Johnston & Roberts, 2009 and references therein). Due to the high wave exposure that defines IR.HIR.KFaR.AlAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Al plus associated sub-biotopes, it is likely that additional organic input to the system may be dispersed out of the biotope’s local vicinity (Johnston & Roberts, 2009). Increased nutrients may favour Mytilus edulis in IR.HIR.KFaR.Al.Al which may increase in cover and abundance. Corallina officinalis is also tolerant of polluted waters (Kindig & Littler, 1980).

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as "Medium", (to represent potential changes in species diversity), resilience as “High”. Sensitivity has been assessed as “Low”.

### Physical Pressures

**Resistance** | **Resilience** | **Sensitivity**
--- | --- | ---
Physical loss (to land or freshwater habitat) | None | Very Low | High

All marine habitats and benthic species are considered to have a resistance of 'None' to this pressure and to be unable to recover from a permanent loss of habitat (resilience is 'Very Low'). Sensitivity within the direct spatial footprint of this pressure is therefore 'High'. Although no specific evidence is described confidence in this assessment is 'High', due to the incontrovertible nature of this pressure.

**Physical change (to another seabed type)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If rock substrata were replaced with sedimentary substrata this would represent a fundamental change in habitat type, which Alaria esculenta would not tolerate (Birkett et al., 1998). The biotope would be lost.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance to the pressure is considered 'None', and resilience ‘Very low’ or ‘None’. The sensitivity of this biotope to change from hard rock or artificial substrata to sedimentary or soft rock substrata is assessed as ‘High’.

**Physical change (to another sediment type)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not Relevant to hard rock biotopes.
The sublittoral fringe is unlikely to be significantly impacted by trampling due to its position of the lower shore but may be prone to abrasion from moorings or low tide landings. Given its resilience to wave action *Alaria esculenta* is unlikely to be significantly damaged by abrasion although the understory coralline turf may suffer some damage. The coralline turf meiofauna will probably be lost as a result of trampling. Moderate trampling on articulated coralline algal turf in the New Zealand intertidal (Brown & Taylor 1999; Schiel & Taylor 1999) resulted in reduced turf height, declines in turf densities, and loss of crustose bases in some case probably due to loss of the canopy algae and resultant desiccation. Calcification is thought to an adaptation to grazing and sediment scour (Littler & Kauker 1984).

If exposed to moorings, groundings, or passing fishing gear, the resultant abrasion may result in the physical removal of a proportion of the *Alaria esculenta* canopy. Depending on the scale of the impact, although no evidence of this impact was found. However, *Alaria esculenta* has been shown to be an opportunistic colonizing species, capable of rapid recovery (see resilience section).

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as 'Medium', resilience as 'High'. Sensitivity has been assessed as 'Low'.

*Suspended Particle Matter (SPM) concentration has a linear relationship with sub-surface light attenuation (Kd) (Devlin et al., 2008). Light penetration influences the maximum depth at which kelp species can grow and it has been reported that laminarians grow at depths at which the light levels are reduced to 1 percent of incident light at the surface. Maximal depth distribution of laminarians, therefore, varies from 100 m in the Mediterranean to only 6-7 m in the silt-laden German Bight. In European Atlantic waters, the depth limit is typically 35 m.  

*Alaria esculenta* is not found in areas of siltation and sediment scour (Birkett et al. 1998). Increased siltation and sediment scour inhibits photosynthesis and algal growth, interfere with spore or larval recruitment plus smother germlings and gametophytes (Fletcher 1996). However, the high degree of wave exposure that typically defines IR.HIR.KFeR.AlaAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFeR.Ala plus
associated sub-biotopes is likely to clear suspended sediments relatively quickly. If low water clarity is persistent and wave exposure decreased then low energy silted kelp biotopes (IR.LIR.K) may proliferate. Once siltation returns to its pre-effect level the biotope is likely to recover its canopy within a year and the rest of the community in no more than five years. Increased siltation will also increase turbidity. Increased sediment my benefit Mytilus edulis and its abundance may increase in IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala.Myt although large individuals are likely to be removed by wave action.

Increased turbidity is likely to reduce the depth to which Alaria esculenta can grow. However, an increase of one level in WFD water clarity scale for a period of one year is unlikely to affect the population since Alaria esculenta’s lower limit, is generally determined by competition from other Laminarians rather than light penetration.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as **Medium**, Resilience as **High**. Sensitivity has been assessed as **Low**.

### Smothering and siltation rate changes (light)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Light</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Not sensitive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to their size juvenile sporophytes, germlings, gametophytes and spores are likely to be inundated by deposition of 5cm during a discrete event but the high wave exposure that defines the distribution of IR.HIR.KFaR.AlaAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala (plus associated sub-biotopes deposited sediments) are likely to be removed rapidly and any effects of inundation are likely to be temporary.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as 'High', Resilience as 'High'. Sensitivity has been assessed as 'Not Sensitive'.

### Smothering and siltation rate changes (heavy)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heavy</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Not sensitive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to their size juvenile sporophytes, germlings, gametophytes and spores are likely to be inundated by deposition of 30cm during a discrete event but the high wave exposure that defines the distribution of IR.HIR.KFaR.AlaAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala (plus associated sub-biotopes deposited sediments) are likely to be removed rapidly and any effects of inundation are likely to be temporary.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as 'High', Resilience as 'High'. Sensitivity has been assessed as 'Not Sensitive'.

### Litter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Litter</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not Assessed (NA)</td>
<td>Not assessed (NA)</td>
<td>Not assessed (NA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Not assessed.**

### Electromagnetic changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electromagnetic changes</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
<td>No evidence (NEv)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Light</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR A: NR C: NR</td>
<td>NR A: NR C: NR</td>
<td>NR A: NR C: NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**No evidence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Underwater noise changes</th>
<th>Not relevant (NR)</th>
<th>Not relevant (NR)</th>
<th>Not relevant (NR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Not relevant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Introduction of light or shading</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q: Low A: NR C: NR</td>
<td>Q: High A: High C: High</td>
<td>Q: Low A: NR C: NR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No evidence to suggest that anthropogenic light sources would affect IR.HIR.KFaR.AlAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Al plus associated sub-biotopes was found. Shading (e.g. by construction of a pontoon, pier etc) could adversely affect the biotope in areas where the water clarity is also low, and tip the balance to shade tolerant species, resulting in the loss of the biotope directly within the shaded area, or a reduction in laminarian abundance from forest to park type biotopes.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance is probably 'Low', with a 'High' resilience and a sensitivity of 'High', albeit with 'low' confidence due to the lack of direct evidence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barrier to species movement</th>
<th>Not relevant (NR)</th>
<th>Not relevant (NR)</th>
<th>Not relevant (NR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Not relevant. This pressure is considered applicable to mobile species, e.g. fish and marine mammals rather than seabed habitats. Physical and hydrographic barriers may limit the dispersal of spores. But spore dispersal is not considered under the pressure definition and benchmarks, e.g. fish and marine mammals rather than seabed habitats. Physical and hydrographic barriers may limit the dispersal of spores. But spore dispersal is not considered under the pressure definition and benchmark.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Death or injury by collision</th>
<th>Not relevant (NR)</th>
<th>Not relevant (NR)</th>
<th>Not relevant (NR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Not relevant. Collision from grounding vessels is addressed under abrasion above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual disturbance</th>
<th>Not relevant (NR)</th>
<th>Not relevant (NR)</th>
<th>Not relevant (NR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Not relevant

**Biological Pressures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic modification &amp; translocation of indigenous species</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
<td>Not relevant (NR)</td>
<td>No evidence (NEv)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Japanese kelp *Undaria pinnatifida* (wakame) has recently spread to the south coast of England from Brittany where it was introduced for aquaculture. It may spread in ballast water of commercial or recreational boats and shipping. Field studies within New Zealand found that the physical structure of coralline turfing algae substantially aided the establishment of *Undaria pinnatifida* in the intertidal. The physical structure of coralline turfing algae (e.g. *Corallina officinalis*) retains moisture, provide a physical barrier to grazers, and create a physically complex habitat on which algal spores can settle (Thompson & Schiel, 2012). Once established *Undaria pinnatifida* has been associated with declines in native kelp abundance (e.g. *Laminaria hyperborea*, Heiser et al., 2014). In the UK *Undaria pinnatifida* has to date only been recorded from predominantly sheltered locations e.g. harbours (Thompson & Schiel, 2012). The high wave exposure which defines IR.HIR.KFaR.AlaAnCrSp & IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala plus associated sub-biotopes may inhibit the establishment of *Undaria pinnatifida* However colonization of *Undaria pinnatifida* within UK habitats needs further study (Birkett et al. 1998b, Heiser et al., 2014).

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as 'High', resilience as 'High'. Sensitivity has been assessed as 'Not Sensitive'.

*Streblonema* sp. is associated with spot disease in kelps and has been found growing on *Alaria esculenta* (Lein et al. 1991) but no incidence of *Alaria esculenta* spot disease was found. *Corallina officinalis* may host several epiphytes of which *Titanoderma corallinae* is thought to cause tissue damage. Hyperplasia or gall growths are often seen as dark spots on *Laminaria digitata* and have been associated with endophytic brown filamentous algae. There is no evidence in the literature that infection by microbial pathogens results in the mass death of kelp populations and the kelp themselves are known to regulate bacterial infections through iodine metabolism (Cosse et al., 2009).

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as 'High', resilience as 'High'. Sensitivity has been assessed as 'Not sensitive'.

*Alaria esculenta* has recently received commercial interest as a consumable product called “Sea Vegetables” or “Atlantic Wakame Kelp”. However, no studies examining the effect of commercial extraction of *Alaria esculenta* biotopes were found. Removal of the algal canopy would expose the understory fauna and flora to increased desiccation. Experimental macroalgal canopy removal experiments conducted in the Isle of Man (Hawkings & Harkin, 1985) found that following the removal of the macroalgal canopy the understory encrusting red algae became bleached and died within a week. *Mytilus edulis* settlement has also been found significantly higher in close proximity...
to *Alaria esculenta* and is thought to increase beneath *Alaria esculenta* canopies (Bégin *et al.*, 2004). Therefore, any loss of *Alaria esculenta*, as a result of commercial extraction, may dramatically affect the understory community.

Traditionally *Laminaria digitata* was added to agricultural lands as fertilizers; now *Laminaria* species are used in a range of different products, with its alginates used in the cosmetic, pharmaceutical and agri-food industries (Kervarec *et al*., 1999; McHugh, 2003). *Laminaria digitata* is harvested with a 'Scoubidou' (a curved iron hook which is mechanically operated) in France. This device is considered to be selective- only harvesting individuals older than 2 years (Arzel, 2002). France reportedly harvests 75,000t kelp, mainly consisting of *Laminaria digitata* annually (FAO, 2007). The loss of *Laminaria digitata* would represent a significant change to IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala.Ldig.

*Corallina officinalis* is collected for medical purposes; the fronds are dried and converted to hydroxyapatite and used as bone forming material (Ewers *et al*. 1987). It is also sold as a powder for use in the cosmetic industry. Moderate trampling on articulated coralline algal turf in the New Zealand intertidal (Brown & Taylor 1999; Schiel & Taylor 1999) resulted in reduced turf height, declines in turf densities, and loss of crustose bases in some case probably due to loss of the canopy algae and resultant desiccation. Calcification is thought to be an adaptation to grazing and sediment scour (Littler & Kauker 1984). *Corallina officinalis* produces spores over a protracted period and can colonize artificial substratum within one week in the intertidal (Harkin & Lindbergh 1977; Littler & Kauker 1984). The crustose base enables *Corallina officinalis* to survive loss of fronds.

**Sensitivity assessment.** There is little evidence for the effects of commercial harvesting of *Alaria esculenta*. If it is assumed that all canopy-forming kelp are removed then resistance would be assessed as ‘None’, resilience would be assessed as ‘Medium’. Sensitivity has been assessed as ‘Medium’. Within IR.HIR.KFaR.AlaAnCrSp and IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala.Myt, monospecific canopies of *Alaria esculenta* are expected to recover quicker than mixed canopies of *Laminaria digitata* (as in IR.HIR.KFaR.Ala.Ldig) Sensitivity of the latter would be assessed as follows; resistance ‘None’, resilience as ‘High’, Sensitivity as ‘Medium’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Removal of non-target species</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q: High</td>
<td>A: High</td>
<td>C: High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q: High</td>
<td>A: High</td>
<td>C: High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q: High</td>
<td>A: High</td>
<td>C: High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incidental/accidental removal of *Alaria esculenta* is likely to cause similar effects to that of direct harvesting; hence the same evidence has been used for both pressure assessments.

*Alaria esculenta* has recently received commercial interest as a consumable product called “Sea Vegetables” or “Atlantic Wakame Kelp”. However no studies examining the effect of commercial extraction of *Alaria esculenta* biotopes were found. Removal of the algal canopy would expose the understory fauna and flora to increased desiccation. Experimental macroalgal canopy removal experiments conducted in the Isle of Man (Hawkings & Harkin, 1985) found that following removal of the macroalgal canopy the understory encrusting red algae became bleached and died within a week. *Mytilus edulis* settlement has also been found significantly higher in close proximity to *Alaria esculenta* and is thought to increase beneath *Alaria esculenta* canopies (Bégin *et al.*, 2004). Therefore, any loss of *Alaria esculenta*, as a result of commercial extraction, may dramatically affect the understory community.

Traditionally *Laminaria digitata* was added to agricultural lands as fertilizers; now *Laminaria* species are used in a range of different products, with its alginates used in the cosmetic, pharmaceutical
and agri-food industries (Kervarec et al., 1999; McHugh, 2003). *Laminaria digitata* is harvested with a ‘Scoubidou’ (a curved iron hook which is mechanically operated) in France. This device is considered to be selective- only harvesting individuals older than 2 years (Arzel, 2002). France reportedly harvests 75,000t kelp, mainly consisting of *Laminaria digitata* annually (FAO, 2007). The loss of *Laminaria digitata* would represent as significant change to IR.HIR.KFaR.Al.Ldig.

*Corallina officinalis* is collected for medical purposes; the fronds are dried and converted to hydroxyapatite and used as bone forming material (Ewers et al. 1987). It is also sold as a powder for use in the cosmetic industry. Moderate trampling on articulated coralline algal turf in the New Zealand intertidal (Brown & Taylor 1999; Schiel & Taylor 1999) resulted in reduced turf height, declines in turf densities, and loss of crustose bases in some case probably due to loss of the canopy algae and resultant desiccation. Calcification is thought to be an adaptation to grazing and sediment scour (Littler & Kauker 1984). *Corallina officinalis* produces spores over a protracted period and can colonize artificial substratum within one week in the intertidal (Harkin & Lindbergh 1977; Littler & Kauker 1984). The crustose base enables *Corallina officinalis* to survive loss of fronds.

**Sensitivity assessment.** There is little published evidence for the effects of commercial harvesting of *Alaria esculenta*. If it is assumed that all canopy forming kelp are removed then resistance would be assessed as ‘None’, resilience would be assessed as ‘Medium’. Sensitivity has been assessed as ‘Medium’. Within IR.HIR.KFaR.Al.Al.Ldig and IR.HIR.KFaR.Al.Al.Myt, monospecific canopies of *Alaria esculenta* are expected to recover quicker than mixed canopies of *Laminaria digitata* (as in IR.HIR.KFaR.Al.Ldig) Sensitivity of the latter would be assessed as follows; resistance ‘None’, resilience as ‘High’, Sensitivity as ‘Medium’.
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