

MarLIN Marine Information Network

Information on the species and habitats around the coasts and sea of the British Isles

Slender sea pen (*Virgularia mirabilis*)

MarLIN – Marine Life Information Network Marine Evidence-based Sensitivity Assessment (MarESA) Review

Jacqueline Hill & Emily Wilson

2000-09-29

A report from: The Marine Life Information Network, Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom.

Please note. This MarESA report is a dated version of the online review. Please refer to the website for the most up-to-date version [https://www.marlin.ac.uk/species/detail/1396]. All terms and the MarESA methodology are outlined on the website (https://www.marlin.ac.uk)

This review can be cited as:

Hill, J.M. & Wilson, E. 2000. Virgularia mirabilis Slender sea pen. In Tyler-Walters H. and Hiscock K. (eds) Marine Life Information Network: Biology and Sensitivity Key Information Reviews, [on-line]. Plymouth: Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom. DOI https://dx.doi.org/10.17031/marlinsp.1396.1

The information (TEXT ONLY) provided by the Marine Life Information Network (MarLIN) is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-Share Alike 2.0 UK: England & Wales License. Note that images and other media featured on this page are each governed by their own terms and conditions and they may or may not be available for reuse. Permissions beyond the scope of this license are available here. Based on a work at www.marlin.ac.uk



(page left blank)



Summary



Description

A slender sea pen up to 60 cm long with a central stem only a few millimetres thick. Retractile tentacled polyps are fused into small 'leaves' which are arranged in two opposing lateral rows on the central stem. The colony varies in colour from white to creamy yellow in colour. May luminesce in darkness.

9 **Recorded distribution in Britain and Ireland**

Found of all British and Irish coasts but less frequent in the south.

0 **Global distribution**

Western Europe and Mediterranean, occurs throughout the North Atlantic possibly as far as North America.

🛏 Habitat

Virgularia mirabilis lives in fine sediments (muddy sand to soft mud). The species is found in sheltered inshore waters, or in deeper water offshore, from 12 - 400 m depth. The species is often very abundant in sea lochs or man-made harbours.

↓ Depth range

10-400m

Q Identifying features

See additional information for explanation of terms.

- Very slender sea-pen, up to 60 cm long with the axial polyp 2-4 mm in diameter.
- Axis round in cross-section.
- Stalk about equal in length to the rachis, or a little shorter.
- Up to 12 autozooids on each leaf; they are long when expanded and very retractile due to the absence of sclerites.
- Sclerites (skeletal element) are totally absent.
- Non-retractile siphonozooids are located in a single oblique row a the foot of each leaf but are small and inconspicuous.
- Colouration of the colony from white to creamy yellow.

a Additional information

As is the case for all octocorals, sea pens are actually colonies of polyps. What distinguishes sea pens is polyp dimorphism. One polyp grows very large and loses its tentacles, forming the central axis. The central axial polyp is divided into two regions: a lower peduncle or stalk, which never bears secondary polyps and functions as a burrowing organ, and an upper stem or rachis, from which numerous secondary polyps bud. Some of these secondary polyps, called autozooids, are typical feeding polyps. Others, the larger and fewer siphonozooids, serve as intakes for water, which circulates within the colony and helps keep it upright. The axial polyp contains a slender, unbranched, calcareous skeletal rod (axis). In this species the axis is round in section and often protrudes from the top of the colony.

Virgularia mirabilis live upright with their stalks thrust into a mucus-lined burrow into which the whole colony can withdraw when disturbed.





% Further information sources

Search on:



Biology review

Phylum Cnidaria Sea anemones, corals, sea firs & jellyfish Class Anthozoa Sea anemones, soft & cup corals, sea per Order Pennatulacea Family Virgulariidaa Genus Virgulariia Authority (Müller, 1776) Recent Synonyms - Typical abundance <60cm Male size range <60cm Male size at maturity Female size range Female size range Large(>50cm) Female size at maturity Growth form Female size at maturity Pinnate Growth form Pinnate Growth rate Low (10-45 degrees) Mobility Characteristic feeding method Diet/food source Plankton and organic particles. Sociability Environmental position Environmental position Epifaunal Dependency Independent. Supports Substratum Substratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.		Taxonomy					
Class Anthozoa Sea anemones, soft & cup corals, sea per Order Pennatulacea Family Virgulariidae Genus Virgulariidae Genus Virgulariidae Authority (Müller, 1776) Recent Synonyms - ** Biology Typical abundance Male size range <60cm Male size range <afoce< td=""> Male size at maturity Emale size range Female size at maturity Large(>50cm) Female size at maturity Female size at maturity Growth form Pinnate Growth rate Eow (10-45 degrees) Mobility Low (10-45 degrees) Mobility Characteristic feeding method No information, Passive suspension feed Diet/food source Typically feeds on Plankton and organic particles. Sociability Environmental position Epifaunal Dependency Independent. Substratum is the species harmful? No</afoce<>		Phylum	Cnidaria	Sea anemones, corals, sea firs & jellyfish			
Order Pennatulacea Family Virgulariidaa Genus Virgularia Authority (Müller, 177) Recent Synonyms - ** Biology Typical abundance - Male size Tange <60cm Male size at maturity - Female size at maturity - Female size at maturity - Female size at maturity - Growth form Pinnate Growth form Large(>50cm) Female size at maturity - Female size at maturity - Growth form Pinnate Growth form Low (10-45 degrees) Mobility Low (10-45 degrees) Mobility Low (10-45 degrees) Mobility Environmental person Plankton and organic particles. - Sociability - Fervironmental poistion Epifaunal Dependency Independent. Supports Substratum Substratum the nudibranch Armina loveni. Substratum the nud		Class Anthozoa		Sea anemones, soft & cup corals, sea pens & sea pansies			
Family Virgulariida= Genus Virgularia Authority (Müller, 177) Recent Synonym		Order	Pennatulace	a			
Genus Virgularia Authority (Müller, 1776) Recent Synonyms		Family	Virgulariidae				
Authority (Müller, 1776) Recent Synonyms - # Biology Typical abundance Typical abundance Male size range <60cm Male size at maturity Female size at maturity Female size at maturity Growth form Large(>50cm) Female size at maturity Growth form Pinnate Growth rate Low (10-45 degrees) Mobility Low (10-45 degrees) Piet/food source Plankton and organic particles. Sociability Plankton and organic particles. Sociability Environmental position Environmental position Epifaunal Dependency Independent. Supports Substratum the nudibranch Armina loveni. Is the species harmful?		Genus	Virgularia				
Recent Synonyms - Image: Synonyms - <t< th=""><th></th><th>Authority</th><th>(Müller, 177</th><th>6)</th></t<>		Authority	(Müller, 177	6)			
 ✓ Biology Typical abundance Male size range Male size at maturity Female size at maturity Female size at maturity Female size at maturity Growth form Pinnate Growth rate Body flexibility Low (10-45 degrees) Mobility Characteristic feeding method No information, Passive suspension feeded Diet/food source Typically feeds on Sociability Environmental position Epifaunal Dependency Independent. Supports Substratum the nudibranch Armina loveni. 		Recent Synonyms	-				
Typical abundanceMale size range<60cmMale size at maturityFemale size at maturityFemale size at maturityGrowth formLarge(>50cm)Growth formPinnateGrowth rateBody flexibilityLow (10-45 degrees)MobilityCharacteristic feeding methodNo information, Passive suspension feededDiet/food sourceTypically feeds onPlankton and organic particles.SociabilityEnvironmental positionEpifaunalDependencyIndependent.SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?No	÷,	Biology					
Male size range<60cmMale size at maturityFemale size at maturityFemale size at maturityGrowth formPinnateGrowth rateBody flexibilityLow (10-45 degrees)MobilityCharacteristic feeding methodNo information, Passive suspension feededDiet/food sourceTypically feeds onPlankton and organic particles.SociabilityEnvironmental positionEnvironmental positionEpifaunalDependencyIndependent.SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?No		Typical abundance	e				
Male size at maturityFemale size rangeLarge(>50cm)Female size at maturityGrowth formPinnateGrowth rateOr (10-45 degrees)MobilityLow (10-45 degrees)MobilityCharacteristic feeding methodNo information, Passive suspension feedDiet/food sourceTypically feeds onSociabilityEnvironmental positionEnvironmental positionDiependencyIndependent.SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?		Male size range		<60cm			
Female size rangeLarge(>50cm)Female size at maturityGrowth formPinnateGrowth rateDinateBody flexibilityLow (10-45 degrees)MobilityCharacteristic feeding methodCharacteristic feeding methodNo information, Passive suspension feedDiet/food sourcePlankton and organic particles.SociabilityEpifaunalIndependent.SupportsSupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.		Male size at matur	rity				
Female size at maturityGrowth formPinnateGrowth ratePinnateBody flexibilityLow (10-45 degrees)MobilityCharacteristic feeding methodCharacteristic feeding methodNo information, Passive suspension feedDiet/food sourceDiet/food sourceTypically feeds onPlankton and organic particles.SociabilityEnvironmental positionDiependencyIndependent.SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?No		Female size range Female size at maturity Growth form Growth rate		Large(>50cm)			
Growth formPinnateGrowth rateGrowth rateBody flexibilityLow (10-45 degrees)MobilityMobilityCharacteristic feeding methodNo information, Passive suspension feedDiet/food sourceDiet/food sourceTypically feeds onPlankton and organic particles.SociabilityEnvironmental positionDependencyIndependent.SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?No							
Growth rateBody flexibilityLow (10-45 degrees)MobilityMobilityCharacteristic feeding methodNo information, Passive suspension feedDiet/food sourceDiet/food sourceTypically feeds onPlankton and organic particles.SociabilityEnvironmental positionEnvironmental positionEpifaunalDependencyIndependent.SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?No				Pinnate			
Body flexibilityLow (10-45 degrees)MobilityMobilityCharacteristic feeding methodNo information, Passive suspension feedDiet/food sourcePlankton and organic particles.Typically feeds onPlankton and organic particles.SociabilityEpifaunalIndependencyIndependent.SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?No							
MobilityCharacteristic feeding methodNo information, Passive suspension feedDiet/food sourceDiet/food sourceTypically feeds onPlankton and organic particles.SociabilityEnvironmental positionEnvironmental positionEpifaunalDependencyIndependent.SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?No		Body flexibility		Low (10-45 degrees)			
Characteristic feeding methodNo information, Passive suspension feedDiet/food sourcePlankton and organic particles.Typically feeds onPlankton and organic particles.SociabilityEnvironmental positionEnvironmental positionEpifaunalDependencyIndependent.SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?No		Mobility					
Diet/food sourceTypically feeds onPlankton and organic particles.SociabilityEnvironmental positionEnvironmental positionEpifaunalDependencyIndependent.SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?No		Characteristic fee	ding method	No information, Passive suspension feeder			
Typically feeds onPlankton and organic particles.SociabilityEnvironmental positionEpifaunalDependencyIndependent.SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?No		Diet/food source					
SociabilityEnvironmental positionEpifaunalDependencyIndependent.SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?No		Typically feeds on		Plankton and organic particles.			
Environmental positionEpifaunalDependencyIndependent.SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?No		Sociability					
DependencyIndependent.SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?No		Environmental position		Epifaunal			
SupportsSubstratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.Is the species harmful?No		Dependency		Independent.			
Is the species harmful? No		Supports		Substratum the nudibranch Armina loveni.			
		Is the species harn	nful?	No			

Biology information

- Typical abundance: usually found at densities of ca >5 individuals / m.
- Flexibility: Eno *et al.*, (1996) found that sea pens bent away from lobster pots dropping on top of them in a passive response to the pressure wave travelling ahead of the dropping pot.
- Feeding: Virgularia mirabilis does possess nematocysts and so the species is probably capable of both passive predation on small zooplanktonic organisms and suspension feeding of suspended material (Hoare & Wilson, 1977). Laboratory experiments have shown that the polyps of Virgularia mirabilis are capable of capturing (by trapping with nematocysts) and ingesting small active organisms such as Artemia nauplii. However, Virgularia mirabilis is a passive carnivore, waiting for small animals that stumble, or are swept, into the tentacles of the sea pen. Suspension feeding occurs when very small

particles become trapped in mucus on the tentacles and are then transported to the mouth by cilia. Suspension feeding is evidenced by the orientation of many colonies at right angles to the water current.

• Evidence of predation on *Virgularia mirabilis* seems limited to a report by Marshall & Marshall (1882 in Hoare & Wilson, 1977) that the species was found in the stomach of haddock. Observations by Hoare & Wilson (1977) suggest however, that predation pressure on this species is low.

Habitat preferences

Physiographic preferences	Offshore seabed, Sea loch / Sea lough, Enclosed coast / Embayment
Biological zone preferences	Circalittoral offshore, Lower circalittoral, Lower infralittoral, Upper circalittoral
Substratum / habitat preferences	Coarse clean sand, Fine clean sand, Mud, Muddy sand, Sandy mud
Tidal strength preferences	Very Weak (negligible), Weak < 1 knot (<0.5 m/sec.)
Wave exposure preferences	Extremely sheltered, Sheltered, Ultra sheltered, Very sheltered
Salinity preferences	Full (30-40 psu)
Depth range	10-400m
Other preferences	No text entered
Migration Pattern	Non-migratory / resident

Habitat Information

No text entered

𝒫 Life history

Adult characteristics

Reproductive type	Gonochoristic (dioecious)
Reproductive frequency	Annual episodic
Fecundity (number of eggs)	No information
Generation time	Insufficient information
Age at maturity	Insufficient information
Season	June - October
Life span	See additional information

Larval characteristics

Larval/propagule type
Larval/juvenile development
Duration of larval stage
Larval dispersal potential

Lecithotrophic See additional information Greater than 10 km

Larval settlement period

Insufficinet information

<u><u></u> Life history information</u>

- The sexes are separate in sea pens. Each colony of polyps is either male or female.
- There is very little information on population structure or life cycles in any of the British sea pens, but the limited data available from other species would lead one to predict a similar pattern of patchy recruitment, slow growth and long lifespan (Hughes, 1998(b)).
- In other species of sea pen the eggs and sperm are released from the polyps and fertilization takes place externally. The sea pen *Ptilosarcus guerneyi* spawns in late March, with up to 200,000 eggs produced per female colony. Chia & Crawford, (1973) found free-swimming larvae of this species did not feed, suggesting a lecithotrophic larvae, and settled within seven days if a suitable substratum was encountered. Birkeland, (1974) found the lifespan of *Ptilosarcus guerneyi* to be up to 15 years, taking 5 or 6 years to reach sexual maturity.

Sensitivity review

This MarLIN sensitivity assessment has been superseded by the MarESA approach to sensitivity assessment. MarLIN assessments used an approach that has now been modified to reflect the most recent conservation imperatives and terminology and are due to be updated by 2016/17.

A Physical Pressures

	Intolerance	Recoverability Sensitivity	Confidence
Substratum Loss	High	Moderate Moderate	Moderate
<u> </u>			

The species lives in the substratum so substratum loss will mean loss of the population. There is very little information on population structure or life cycles in any of the British sea pens, but the limited data available from other species would lead one to predict a similar pattern of patchy recruitment, slow growth and long life-span (Hughes, 1998(b)). Larval settlement is likely to be patchy in space and highly episodic in time with no recruitment to the population taking place for some years. In Holyhead harbour, for example, animals show a patchy distribution, probably related to larval settlement (Hoare & Wilson, 1977). Therefore, provided that a suitable substratum remains, recoverability is expected to be moderate.

Smothering

Individual colonies extend up to 30cm above the sediment so are unlikely to be significantly affected by smothering by 5cm of sediment. There may be an increase in the energetic cost of cleaning sediment from the polyps. Eno et al., (1996) found that another species of sea pen, *Funiculina quadrangularis* when partially buried still showed signs of polyp activity on parts of the sea pen that were visible. In an investigation into the effect of shellfish traps on benthic habitats (Eno et al., 1996) creels were dropped on sea pens and left for extended periods to simulate the effects of smothering which could occur during commercial operations. The sea pens consistently righted themselves following removal of the pots indicating recovery is likely to be immediate.

Low

Low

Increase in suspended sediment

Immediate

Immediate

Not sensitive Moderate

Not sensitive

Moderate

The effect of increased deposition of fine silt is uncertain but it is possible that feeding structures may become clogged. When tested Virgularia mirabilis quickly seized and rejected inert particles (Hoare & Wilson, 1977). Hiscock (1983) observed Virgularia mirabilis secretes copious amounts of mucus and, as a species living in a very sheltered area subject to high suspended sediment loads, the mucus could clearly keep the polyps clear of silt. Hoare & Wilson (1977) also suggested there is a tendency for animals to withdraw into the sediment when there was a high level of tidally-suspended sediment in the water. There was however, much variation. Eno et al., (1996) found that another species of sea pen, Funiculina quadrangularis, was quick to remove any adhering mud particles by the production of copious quantities of mucus. Virgularia mirabilis is also likely to be able to self-clean. However, if feeding is reduced by increases in siltation the viability of the population will be reduced. Once siltation levels return to normal, feeding will be resumed therefore recoverability will be immediate.

Decrease in suspended sediment

Dessication

Not relevant Not relevant Not relevant High Virgularia mirabilis is a sub-tidal species that is likely to be highly intolerant of desiccation.

Exposure to air for a period of an hour would probably result in the death of individuals. However, the species only occurs in the circalittoral zone (below 10 m) where desiccation is not a factor so intolerance has been assessed as not relevant.

Increase in emergence regime

Not relevant

Not relevant

Not relevant

High

High

Virgularia mirabilis is a sub-tidal species that is likely to be highly intolerant of emergence. Emersion from seawater for a period of an hour, where the species would be subject to conditions such as desiccation and temperature changes, would probably result in the death of individuals. However, the species only occurs in the circalittoral zone (below 10 m) where emergence does not occur so intolerance has been assessed as not relevant.

Decrease in emergence regime

Increase in water flow rate High Moderate Moderate

Virgularia mirabilis is found in habitats associated with physically-sheltered conditions of weak and very weak water flow rates, therefore intolerance to water flow rate is likely to be high. As water flow rates increase, Virgularia mirabilis first responds by swinging polyps around the axial rod to face away from the current, then polyps face downstream. With further increases the stalk bends over and the pinnae are pushed together to an increasing amount with increasing velocity of flow. Finally, tentacles retract and at water speeds greater than 0.5m/s (i.e. 1 knot) the stalk retracts into the mud (Hiscock, 1983). If water speeds remain at this level or above the sea-pen will be unable to extend above the sediment, unable to feed and will die. Therefore, intolerance to an increase in water flow, at the benchmark level is high. There is very little information on population structure or life cycles in any of the British sea pens, but the limited data available from other species would lead one to predict a similar pattern of patchy recruitment, slow growth and long life-span (Hughes, 1998(b)). Larval settlement is likely to be patchy in space and highly episodic in time with no recruitment to the population taking place for some years. Therefore, provided that a suitable substratum remains, recoverability is expected to be moderate.

Decrease in water flow rate

Increase in temperature

No information was found on the upper or lower limits of Virgularia mirabilis tolerance to temperature changes. The species occurs from North America to the Mediterranean and so may be able to tolerate long term changes in temperature. However, the species is subtidal where wide variations in temperature, such as experienced in the intertidal, are not so common and so may be more intolerant of short term changes. Intolerance has therefore, been assessed as intermediate.

Decrease in temperature

Increase in turbidity

Virgularia mirabilis is insensitive to light (Hoare & Wilson, 1977) therefore an increase or decrease in light levels caused by changing turbidity levels will have little or no effect on the sea pen population.

Decrease in turbidity

Increase in wave exposure

Virgularia mirabilis is found in habitats with low wave exposure. In Holyhead Harbour Hoare & Wilson (1977) found that, with increasing distance from the breakwater of the harbour, where

High

Moderate

Intermediate Moderate

Moderate

Moderate

Low

Tolerant

Not relevant

High

Not sensitive Not relevant wave exposure increases, individuals became smaller and less common. An increase of two scales in the exposure scale is likely to kill *Virgularia mirabilis* populations so intolerance is high. However, only those populations in relatively shallow water are likely to be affected by the factor. Recruitment is probably sporadic and so recovery is assessed as moderate.

Decrease in wave exposure

Noise

Immediate

Not sensitive Low

Virgularia mirabilis can withdraw into its burrow when disturbed. The species is likely to be sensitive to vibrations in the surrounding water rather than noise itself. The presence of noise, at the benchmark level such as the regular passing of a 30 metre trawler at 100 metres, effects are likely to be minimal and if disturbed the sea-pen would re-emerge as soon as conditions return to normal. Thus, recovery would be immediate.

Visual Presence

Tolerant

Low

t Not relevant

Not sensitive

High

Virgularia mirabilis has very limited, if any, ability for visual perception. The sea pen is unlikely to be sensitive to visual presence.

Abrasion & physical disturbance Intermediate Moderate Moderate Moderate

Sea pens retract slowly and are likely to be intolerant of abrasion by trawling for instance, which is likely to break the rachis of *Virgularia mirabilis*. Species obtained by dredges were invariably damaged (Hoare & Wilson, 1977). However, the densities of *Virgularia mirabilis* were similar in trawled and untrawled sites in Loch Fyne and no changes in sea pen density was observed after experimental trawling over a 18 month period in another loch (Howson & Davies, 1991; Tuck *et al.*, 1998; Hughes, 1998b). Hughes (1998b) concluded that *Virgularia mirabilis* and *Pennatula phosphorea*, which can withdrawn into the sediment, were probably less susceptible to the effects of damage by fishing gear than *Funiculina quadrangularis*, which is unable to withdraw. In an investigation into the effect of shellfish traps on benthic habitats (Eno *et al.*, 1996), creels were dropped on sea pens and left for extended periods to simulate the effects of smothering which could occur during commercial operations. Sea pens were seen to bend away in response to the pressure wave travelling ahead of the dropping pot. The sea pens consistently righted themselves following removal of the pots. Eno *et al.* (1996) found that even if damaged, another species of sea pen, *Funiculina quadrangularis*, appeared to remain functional and this could also be true of *Virgularia mirabilis*.

However, the long term effects are unknown. Overall, a proportion of the population may be damaged and die. Hence, an intolerance of intermediate has been recorded. Recoverability is likely to be moderate.

Displacement

Low

Immediate

Not sensitive M

Moderate

Displaced individuals, which are not damaged (see Abrasion above for damage), will re-burrow (Jones *et al.*, 2000) and recover completely within 72 hours, provided the basal peduncle remains in contact with the sediment surface. Eno *et al.*, (1996) found that even when damaged another species of sea pen, *Funiculina quadrangularis*, appeared to remain functional. This could also be true of *Virgularia mirabilis*. During a manipulative experiment to examine the effect of extensive and repeated experimental trawl disturbance over an 18 month period Tuck *et al.* (1998) reported no effects on the abundance and distribution of *Virgularia mirabilis*. The authors suggest the reason for this may be the species ability to rapidly withdraw into the sediment, thereby avoiding damage.

A Chemical Pressures

	Intolerance	Recoverability	Sensitivity	Confidence
Synthetic compound contamination				Not relevant
Insufficient information.				
Heavy metal contamination				Not relevant
Insufficient information.				
Hydrocarbon contamination				Not relevant
Insufficient information.				
Radionuclide contamination	Low	High	Low	Low

Virgularia mirabilis occurred in high density (10/m^{II}) at a sampling station immediately offshore from the Sellafield outfall pipeline in the Irish Sea (Hughes & Atkinson, 1997). Bottom sediments in this area contains particles of long half life radionuclides from the liquid effluent and so intolerance is assessed as low.

High

Changes in nutrient levels

Hoare & Wilson (1977) noted that *Virgularia mirabilis* was absent from part of the Holyhead Harbour heavily affected by sewage pollution. However, the species was abundant near the head of Loch Harport, Skye, close to a distillery outfall discharging water enriched in malt and yeast residues and other soluble organic compounds (Nickell & Anderson, 1977 in Hughes, 1998). The organic content of the sediment was up to 5%. *Virgularia mirabilis* was also present in Loch Sween in Scotland where organic content is also as high as 5%. Thus it seems likely that sea pen communities are able to tolerate some nutrient enrichment and so intolerance is assessed as low.

Increase in salinity

Jones *et al.* (2000) suggest that Virgularia mirabilis appears to be somewhat tolerant of occasional lowering of salinity. However, the species is found only in fully marine conditions and so is likely to be intolerant of a long term, chronic change; e.g., a change of one category from the MNCR salinity scale for one year and so intolerance is assessed as high. Recovery is assessed as moderate because of the sporadic nature of recruitment.

Decrease in salinity

Changes in oxygenation

High

Low

High

Moderate

Moderate

Moderate

Moderate

Low

Moderate

Low

Low

Stratification of the water column and hypoxia in near-bottom water is especially likely to occur during warm temperatures in semi-enclosed water bodies such as sea lochs. *Virgularia mirabilis* is often found in sea lochs so may be able to tolerate some reduction in oxygenation. However, Jones *et al.*, (2000) found sea pen communities to be absent from areas which are deoxygenated and characterised by a distinctive bacterial community and Hoare & Wilson (1977) reported *Virgularia mirabilis* absent from sewage related anoxic areas of Holyhead harbour. Intolerance is therefore, assessed as high. There is very little information on population structure or life cycles in any of the British sea pens, but the limited data available from other species would lead one to predict a similar pattern of patchy recruitment, slow growth and long life-span (Hughes, 1998(b)). Larval settlement is likely to be patchy in space and highly episodic in time with no recruitment to the population taking place for some years.

Biological Pressures

Introduction of microbial pathogens/parasites				Not relevant
No information on diseases of ${\sf V}$	No information on diseases of Virgularia mirabilis was found.			
Introduction of non-native species	Tolerant	Not relevant	Not sensitive	Moderate
There are no non-native species 1997).	s of sea-pens tha	at compete with	Virgularia mirak	pilis (Eno et al.,
Extraction of this species	Intermediate	High	Low	Moderate
It is extremely unlikely that Virgularia mirabilis would be subject to extraction so this factor is assessed as not relevant. However, individuals may be removed by dredging activities. If some individuals remain recovery should be good because of the availability of larval supply.				
Extraction of other species	Tolerant	Not relevant	Not sensitive	Low
Virgularia mirabilis has no knowi to have any direct effect.	n obligate relatio	onships so remo	val of other spe	cies is unlikely

Additional information

There have been no long-term studies of British sea pen populations so any assessment of intolerance is hindered by lack of information.

Importance review

Policy/legislation

Northern Ireland Priority Species 🗹

\star Status

National (GB) Nimportance

Not rare/scarce

Global red list (IUCN) category

Non-native

Native Origin

Date Arrived

_

1 Importance information

The nudibranch *Armina loveni* is found crawling on the surface or burrowing in muddy sand, usually in company with sea-pens *Virgularia mirabilis*, on which it is presumed to feed (Picton & Morrow, 1994).

Bibliography

Birkeland, C., 1974. Interactions between a seapen and seven of its predators. Ecological Monographs, 44, 211-232.

Chia, F.S. & Crawford, B.J., 1973. Some observations on gametogenesis, larval development and substratum selection of the sea pen *Ptilosarcus guerneyi*. *Marine Biology*, **23**, 73-82.

Eno, N.C., Clark, R.A. & Sanderson, W.G. (ed.) 1997. Non-native marine species in British waters: a review and directory. Peterborough: Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Eno, N.C., MacDonald, D. & Amos, S.C., 1996. A study on the effects of fish (Crustacea/Molluscs) traps on benthic habitats and species. *Final report to the European Commission. Study Contract*, no. 94/076.

Hayward, P., Nelson-Smith, T. & Shields, C. 1996. Collins pocket guide. Sea shore of Britain and northern Europe. London: HarperCollins.

Hayward, P.J. & Ryland, J.S. (ed.) 1995b. Handbook of the marine fauna of North-West Europe. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Hiscock, K., 1983. Water movement. In Sublittoral ecology. The ecology of shallow sublittoral benthos (ed. R. Earll & D.G. Erwin), pp. 58-96. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Hoare, R. & Wilson, E.H., 1977. Observations on the behaviour and distribution of Virgularia mirabilis O.F. Müller (Coelenterata: Pennatulacea) in Holyhead harbour. In Proceedings of the Eleventh European Symposium on Marine Biology, University College, Galway, 5-11 October 1976. Biology of Benthic Organisms, (ed. B.F. Keegan, P.O. Ceidigh & P.J.S. Boaden, pp. 329-337. Oxford: Pergamon Press. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Howson, C.M. & Davies, L.M., 1991. Marine Nature Conservation Review, Surveys of Scottish Sea Lochs. A towed video survey of Loch Fyne. Vol. 1 - Report. Report to the Nature Conservancy Council from the University Marine Biological Station, Millport.

Howson, C.M. & Picton, B.E., 1997. The species directory of the marine fauna and flora of the British Isles and surrounding seas. Belfast: Ulster Museum. [Ulster Museum publication, no. 276.]

Hughes, D.J. & Atkinson, R.J.A., 1997. A towed video survey of megafaunal bioturbation in the north-eastern Irish Sea. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, **77**, 635-653.

Hughes, D.J., 1998b. Subtidal brittlestar beds. An overview of dynamics and sensitivity characteristics for conservation management of marine SACs. *Natura 2000 report prepared for Scottish Association of Marine Science (SAMS) for the UK Marine SACs Project.*, Scottish Association for Marine Science. (UK Marine SACs Project, Vol. 3). Available from: http://www.ukmarinesac.org.uk/pdfs/britstar.pdf

Jones, L.A., Hiscock, K. & Connor, D.W., 2000. Marine habitat reviews. A summary of ecological requirements and sensitivity characteristics for the conservation and management of marine SACs. *Joint Nature Conservation Committee*, *Peterborough*. (UK *Marine SACs Project report.*). Available from: http://www.ukmarinesac.org.uk/pdfs/marine-habitats-review.pdf

Manuel, R.L., 1988. British Anthozoa. London: Academic Press. [Synopses of the British Fauna, no. 18.]

Picton, B. E. & Morrow, C.C., 1994. A Field Guide to the Nudibranchs of the British Isles. London: Immel Publishing Ltd.

Tuck, I.D., Hall, S.J., Robertson, M.R., Armstrong, E. & Basford, D.J., 1998. Effects of physical trawling disturbance in a previously unfished sheltered Scottish sea loch. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **162**, 227-242.

Datasets

Centre for Environmental Data and Recording, 2018. Ulster Museum Marine Surveys of Northern Ireland Coastal Waters. Occurrence dataset https://www.nmni.com/CEDaR/CEDaR-Centre-for-Environmental-Data-and-Recording.aspx accessed via NBNAtlas.org on 2018-09-25.

NBN (National Biodiversity Network) Atlas. Available from: https://www.nbnatlas.org.

OBIS (Ocean Biogeographic Information System), 2019. Global map of species distribution using gridded data. Available from: Ocean Biogeographic Information System. www.iobis.org. Accessed: 2019-03-12