



# MarLIN

## Marine Information Network

Information on the species and habitats around the coasts and sea of the British Isles

# Short snouted seahorse (*Hippocampus hippocampus*)

MarLIN – Marine Life Information Network  
Biology and Sensitivity Key Information Review

Marisa Sabatini & Susie Ballerstedt

2007-09-03

A report from:

The Marine Life Information Network, Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom.

**Please note.** This MarESA report is a dated version of the online review. Please refer to the website for the most up-to-date version [<https://www.marlin.ac.uk/species/detail/1788>]. All terms and the MarESA methodology are outlined on the website (<https://www.marlin.ac.uk>)

This review can be cited as:

Sabatini, M. & Ballerstedt, S. 2007. *Hippocampus hippocampus* Short snouted seahorse. In Tyler-Walters H. and Hiscock K. (eds) *Marine Life Information Network: Biology and Sensitivity Key Information Reviews*, [on-line]. Plymouth: Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom.  
DOI <https://dx.doi.org/10.17031/marlin.sp.1788.1>



The information (TEXT ONLY) provided by the Marine Life Information Network (MarLIN) is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-Share Alike 2.0 UK: England & Wales License. Note that images and other media featured on this page are each governed by their own terms and conditions and they may or may not be available for reuse. Permissions beyond the scope of this license are available [here](#). Based on a work at [www.marlin.ac.uk](http://www.marlin.ac.uk)

(page left blank)



See online review for  
distribution map

The seahorse *Hippocampus hippocampus*.  
Photographer: Sue Daly  
Copyright: Sue Daly

Distribution data supplied by the Ocean  
Biogeographic Information System (OBIS). To  
interrogate UK data visit the NBN Atlas.

<b>Researched by</b>	Marisa Sabatini & Susie Ballerstedt	<b>Refereed by</b>	Neil Garrick-Maidment
<b>Authority</b>	(Linnaeus, 1758)		
<b>Other common names</b>	-	<b>Synonyms</b>	-

## Summary

### 🔍 Description

The seahorse has a very distinctive shape with the head set at an angle to the body. The trunk of the body is short and rather fat whilst the tail is tapering, curled and prehensile. *Hippocampus hippocampus* can be up to 15 cm in length. The snout is short and upturned, and less than one third the length of the head. There is a prominent spine above each eye. The dorsal fin has 16-18 rays, usually with a dark stripe running parallel to the margin. The pectoral fins have 13-15 rays. Body rings carry bony tubercles, giving a knobbly, angular appearance. The body is variable in colour: brown, orange, purple or black, sometimes with pale blotches.

### 📍 Recorded distribution in Britain and Ireland

Distributed along the south coast of England, with substantial populations around the Channel Islands and Ireland (Garrick-Maidment & Jones, 2004).

### 📍 Global distribution

Reported from the Netherlands, Belgium, the east Atlantic coast of Europe, Algeria, Italy, Malta and Greece.

## Habitat

Found in shallow muddy waters, in estuaries or inshore amongst seaweed and seagrasses, clinging by the tail or swimming upright. *Hippocampus hippocampus* can also be found in rocky areas.

## ↓ Depth range

77 m

## Q Identifying features

- Body up to 15 cm in length.
- Short, upturned snout.
- Prominent spine above each eye.
- Dorsal fin has 16-18 rays with a submarginal stripe.
- Pectoral fin has 13-15 rays.
- Lacks a mane
- Bony tubercles on body.

## Additional information

*Hippocampus hippocampus* is one of two species of seahorses found in the British Isles, the other is [Hippocampus guttulatus](#), which has a longer snout and elongated protuberances along the back of the neck, giving the impression of a 'horses mane'.

The exact size and distribution of the population of seahorses around the British Isles is not known at present. The British Seahorse survey is collating records currently and can be found at the [Seahorse Trust](#).

Please note: the biology of seahorses is poorly known and little information on *Hippocampus hippocampus* was found. Therefore, the following review is based in part on reviews of the biology of seahorses by Vincent (1996), Garrick-Maidment (1997) and Lourie *et al*, (1999). See also the British Seahorse Survey Report 2004 (Garrick-Maidment & Jones, 2004).

## ✓ Listed by



## Further information sources

- [FishBase](#)
- [Seahorse Trust](#)

Search on:



## Biology review

### ☰ Taxonomy

<b>Phylum</b>	Chordata	Sea squirts, fish, reptiles, birds and mammals
<b>Class</b>	Actinopterygii	Ray-finned fish, e.g. sturgeon, eels, fin fish, gobies, blennies, and seahorses
<b>Order</b>	Syngnathiformes	
<b>Family</b>	Syngnathidae	
<b>Genus</b>	Hippocampus	
<b>Authority</b>	(Linnaeus, 1758)	
<b>Recent Synonyms</b>	-	

### 🌿 Biology

<b>Typical abundance</b>	Low density
<b>Male size range</b>	15cm
<b>Male size at maturity</b>	
<b>Female size range</b>	Medium(11-20 cm)
<b>Female size at maturity</b>	
<b>Growth form</b>	See additional information
<b>Growth rate</b>	See additional information
<b>Body flexibility</b>	See additional information
<b>Mobility</b>	
<b>Characteristic feeding method</b>	Predator
<b>Diet/food source</b>	
<b>Typically feeds on</b>	Organic debris, plankton, brine shrimp, small crustaceans and small fish
<b>Sociability</b>	
<b>Environmental position</b>	Demersal
<b>Dependency</b>	No information found.
<b>Supports</b>	No information
<b>Is the species harmful?</b>	No

### 🏛️ Biology information

#### Growth form

All seahorses have the same basic body shape, that is, a horse-like head held at right angles to an erect body.

#### Body flexibility

The tail is highly flexible although it cannot bend directly backwards very far (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.).

## Abundance

Seahorse population density tends to be low (Vincent, 1996). However there are no published data about population trends or total numbers of mature animals for this species.

## Camouflage

*Hippocampus hippocampus* has the potential (like all seahorses) to grow appendages on its body for camouflage and protection. However, none have ever been identified (Garrick-Maidment, 1998).

## Mobility

*Hippocampus hippocampus* is better suited to manoeuvrability than speed (Blake, 1976). Only the dorsal fin on their back provides propulsion, while the 'ear-like' pectoral fins below the gill openings are used for stability and steering. *Hippocampus hippocampus* is able to use its prehensile tail as an anchor, wrapping it around the stems of seagrass, coral heads or any suitable object. It uses its tail to hold on in strong currents (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.) and the tail is used by both sexes to grasp a partner in mating and greeting rituals. The tail is also used a great deal for climbing and is used as a hand when grasping for climbing (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.).

## Growth rates

Growth rates have not been investigated in any detail but young fry are known to exhibit growth inflection points as they switch between prey types (Boisseau, 1967; cited in Vincent, 1996). Adults are known to grow more slowly as they grow larger (Vincent & Sadler unpublished; cited in Vincent, 1996).

## Feeding

On average, an adult seahorse will eat between 30-50 mysid shrimp a day (Garrick-Maidment, 1997). *Hippocampus hippocampus* is an ambush predator that feeds on live, moving food. *Hippocampus hippocampus* will remain motionless until a small animal such as a mysid shrimp passes within reach. Within a second, the seahorse will flick its head and suck its prey out of the water column through its long tubular snout. *Hippocampus hippocampus* has no teeth or stomach, therefore prey that are caught are swallowed whole and pass rapidly through the digestive system.

## Predators

Few predators appear to target adult seahorses. Lourie *et al.* (1999) suggested that this could be due to camouflage and immobility that makes the seahorse difficult to detect. They are, however, taken by crabs, and large pelagic fish (Lourie *et al.*, 1999). There are also records of sea gulls and penguins eating seahorses of which the former appear to eat them commonly (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.).



## Habitat preferences

<b>Physiographic preferences</b>	Offshore seabed, Strait / sound, Estuary
<b>Biological zone preferences</b>	Lower eulittoral, Mid eulittoral, Sublittoral fringe, Upper eulittoral
<b>Substratum / habitat preferences</b>	Macroalgae, Bedrock, Mud, Seagrass
<b>Tidal strength preferences</b>	Very Weak (negligible), Weak < 1 knot (<0.5 m/sec.)
<b>Wave exposure preferences</b>	Extremely sheltered, Moderately exposed, Sheltered, Ultra sheltered, Very sheltered
<b>Salinity preferences</b>	Variable (18-40 psu)
<b>Depth range</b>	77 m

<b>Other preferences</b>	None found
<b>Migration Pattern</b>	Non-migratory / resident

### Habitat Information

Seahorses are often found in water less than one metre deep. Most species of seahorse live at depths between 1-15 metres and as deep as 77 m when they move out into deeper waters over winter (Garrick-Maidment, 1998) but the depth varies throughout its range according to habitat (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.). In the Channel Islands, they are found in much deeper water because here they have a wide tidal range and deep gullies (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.). On the whole, *Hippocampus hippocampus* is found below 5 m whereas *Hippocampus guttulatus* is found in shallower depths (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.). They occupy only certain parts of seemingly suitable habitats, for example sticking to the edge of seagrass beds leaving large areas unoccupied. These microhabitats have not been investigated but it has been suggested that seahorses find more food in areas of good water exchange (Vincent, 1996). Habitat / substratum preferences may be seasonal and related to seasonal migration (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.).

Adults may disperse during short range migrations but most movement to new areas happens when adults are cast adrift by storms or carried away while grasping floating debris (Vincent, 1999). They can cope with strong tidal strength for varying periods of time (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.).

## Life history

### Adult characteristics

<b>Reproductive type</b>	Gonochoristic (dioecious)
<b>Reproductive frequency</b>	Annual episodic
<b>Fecundity (number of eggs)</b>	See additional information
<b>Generation time</b>	Insufficient information
<b>Age at maturity</b>	6-12 months
<b>Season</b>	April - November
<b>Life span</b>	See additional information

### Larval characteristics

<b>Larval/propagule type</b>	-
<b>Larval/juvenile development</b>	Viviparous (Parental Care)
<b>Duration of larval stage</b>	Not relevant
<b>Larval dispersal potential</b>	See additional information
<b>Larval settlement period</b>	Not relevant

## Life history information

### Sexual maturity

*Hippocampus hippocampus* becomes sexually mature during the first reproductive season after birth i.e. at age six to twelve months (Lourie *et al.*, 1999). Sexual maturity in males can be recognized by the presence of a brood pouch, although the size of the pouch will vary with its reproductive state. Any physical manifestation of sexual maturity is less obvious in females (Vincent, 1996).

The length and timing of the reproductive season varies with location, and will be influenced by light, temperature and water turbulence. It was originally thought that *Hippocampus hippocampus* and *Hippocampus guttulatus* only bred from Spring (April) to the Autumn (October) (Lourie *et al.*, 1999) but recent work (Garrick-Maidment, British Seahorse Survey 2004) has found juveniles in February that would have been born in November (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.).

### Pair bonding

*Hippocampus hippocampus* like all seahorses are monogamous and form faithful pair bonds. The male seahorses are the predominant competitors for access to mates as they compete more to get pregnant than females do to give their eggs away (Vincent, 1994a). In courtship, males exhibit higher levels of aggressive competitive behaviour patterns (wrestling and snapping) than females. Competitive wrestling and snapping are described below.

- Snapping occurs when a male aims his snout at his competitors opercular flap before flicking his snout hard in order to propel the rival male away. A well aimed powerful snap could propel a seahorse as much as 10 cm and lead to the recipient darkening and flattening in a submissive posture (Vincent *et al.*, 1994a).
- When two seahorses are wrestling, one male will refuse to release his competitor from its grasp. Both males may then tumble with locked tails. Eventually, the submissive male will darken and flatten in a submissive posture until it is released by the dominant male (Vincent *et al.*, 1994a).

Tail wrestling and snapping with the snout is confined to males (Vincent *et al.*, 1992). Although females do compete for access to males (in the sense that they exhibit higher levels of courtship when in the presence of another member of the same sex than when courting alone) but to a far lesser extent than males (Vincent, 1994a). Courtship included the following behaviour.

1. Both seahorses may grasp a common holdfast with their tails and rotate around it.
2. Male and female may promenade together often holding tails.
3. Head tilting and quivering (Vincent, 1994a).

*Hippocampus hippocampus* forms sexually faithful pairs that endure through multiple mating and breeding seasons. Pair bonding is more on a seasonal basis in temperate species and there is no evidence from the wild to prove that they pair for life (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.). The male and female reproductive state changes are always synchronized within a pair and only within a pair, confirming that they are faithful to each other (Vincent, 1996). Pair bonding is reinforced by daily greetings performed only with an individual's partner. These greetings commonly last six to eight minutes (Vincent *et al.*, 1992).

### Reproduction

The seahorse has a unique method of reproduction in which the male plays the dominant role. It is the male rather than the female that becomes pregnant (Vincent, 1994a). At the beginning of the mating season the males prepare their brood pouches. Females eggs ripen in an assembly line ovary, throughout the reproductive season. In order to mate males must eliminate the previous brood from the pouch and females must hydrate their eggs. Each sex provides a signal of readiness

to mate, males pump water in and out of their pouch and females point their head towards the water surface (Fiedler, 1954; cited in Vincent, 1994b). Females also have a trunk which is visibly distended with hydrated eggs, which becomes concave after the egg transfer (Vincent, 1994b). The female aligns the base of her trunk with the males pouch opening and inserts her ovipositor into the pouch. The female then deposits her eggs into the brood pouch where they are fertilized (Dipper, 2001). Egg transfer takes about 6-10 seconds (Vincent, 1994a).

Once the eggs are fertilized the brood pouch then seals up. The pear shaped eggs become embedded into the pouch wall and enveloped by tissues. Oxygen is provided via capillaries in the pouch wall (Carcupino *et al.*, 2002; Dipper, 2001). The pouch acts like the womb of a female mammal, complete with a placental fluid that bathes the eggs and provides nutrients and oxygen to the developing embryos while removing waste products. Nevertheless most of the nutrition comes from the mother. The egg yolk provides nutrients, while the male secretes a hormone called prolactin (Boisseau, 1967b; cited in Lourie *et al.*, 1999; Dipper, 2001). Prolactin induces enzymatic breakdown of the outer part of the egg (chorion) to produce a placental fluid (Boisseau, 1967b; cited in Lourie *et al.*, 1999). The pouch fluid is altered during pregnancy from being similar to body fluids to being more like the surrounding seawater. This helps reduce the stress on the offspring at birth (Dipper, 2001).

Pregnancy in male *Hippocampus hippocampus* can last for about 20-21 days (Garrick-Maidment, 1998). At the end of this period the male goes into labour (usually at night), which can last for hours. The brood pouch opens and fully formed young are pushed out by vigorous pumping movements. Male seahorses have relatively small broods (TRAFFIC, 2002). Brood size depends largely but not entirely on the size of the adults (Lourie *et al.*, 2002). The number of young produced by *Hippocampus hippocampus* can range from 50-100 (Garrick-Maidment, 1998) although recent information shows that the number can be as high as 250 (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.). Fecundity depends on the age of the male, older males producing a larger number of offspring (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.). In pairs that are familiar with each other the male is able to mate again within a few hours of emptying his brood pouch without any decline in his physical condition (Carcupino *et al.*, 2002)

### **Dispersal potential**

It has been suggested that young fry are more likely to colonize new or depleted areas because they are often carried away from natal habitats despite attempts to settle into the substrata (Vincent, 1996). The extent of dispersal by this mechanism is unknown (Vincent *et al.*, 1999).

### **Longevity**

The natural lifespan and mortality rates of seahorses and the parameters that define them are virtually unknown and in need of research (Anon, 1990a; cited in Vincent, 1996). It has been suggested that the lifespan is only about 1 year in very small species such as *Hippocampus zosterae* (~ 2.5 cm) (Strawn 1953; cited in Vincent, 1996) whereas larger seahorses, such as *Hippocampus hippocampus*, have a lifespan of 1-5 years (Lourie *et al.*, 1999). *Hippocampus hippocampus* has been regularly recorded living up to 5-6 years in captivity (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.).

Natural adult mortality rates are likely to be low but the data on mortality rates is very limited (Vincent, 1996). Fry are released at an advanced stage of development, which probably gives them a higher chance of survival than in many other species (TRAFFIC, 2002). However, it has been suggested that mortality is probably highest in young fry, as they are highly vulnerable to piscivorous fish (Vincent, 1996).

## Sensitivity review

This MarLIN sensitivity assessment has been superseded by the MarESA approach to sensitivity assessment. MarLIN assessments used an approach that has now been modified to reflect the most recent conservation imperatives and terminology and are due to be updated by 2016/17.

### A Physical Pressures

	Intolerance	Recoverability	Sensitivity	Confidence
<b>Substratum Loss</b>	High	High	Moderate	Very low
<p><i>Hippocampus hippocampus</i> lives in a wide range of habitats from eelgrass, micro- and macro-algae to silt, mud and rocky substrata (N. Garrick-Maidment &amp; Jones, 2004). A removal of the substratum, micro- or macro-algae or seagrasses would make an area unsuitable for seahorse colonization. However <i>Hippocampus hippocampus</i> are mobile and potentially able to find another site to recolonize. Therefore intolerance has been assessed as high with a high recoverability.</p>				
<b>Smothering</b>	Low	Very high	Very Low	Very low
<p><i>Hippocampus hippocampus</i> can be found clinging by the tail to seagrasses and macroalgae. Seagrasses and macroalgae are intolerant of smothering and typically bend over with the addition of sediment and are buried in a few centimetres (Fonseca, 1992). However, it is more common to see all seahorse species at the base of the algae than at the end (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.). <i>Hippocampus hippocampus</i> will not be as affected by smothering as they are mobile and able to slowly swim away to another suitable area. Therefore, intolerance has been assessed as low with a very high recoverability.</p>				
<b>Increase in suspended sediment</b>	Low	Very high	Very Low	Low
<p><i>Hippocampus hippocampus</i> does not rely on increases in suspended sediments to increase food availability as it feeds by predation. The seagrass habitats of <i>Hippocampus hippocampus</i> are likely to be intolerant of increases in suspended sediment which may result in a loss of habitat. However, <i>Hippocampus hippocampus</i> is mobile and may find more suitable conditions if necessary. Therefore, intolerance has been assessed as low with a very high recoverability.</p>				
<b>Decrease in suspended sediment</b>	Low	Very high	Very Low	Low
<p>This species is probably tolerant of decreases in suspended sediment as it feeds by predation and is not reliant on food uptake through the sediments, however, its prey may be affected. Therefore, an assessment of low is given with a very high recoverability.</p>				
<b>Dessication</b>	Not relevant	Not relevant	Not relevant	Not relevant
<p>Stranding of the individual and subsequent exposure to sunshine and air for an hour would more than likely result in death. However, <i>Hippocampus hippocampus</i> is subtidal and unlikely to be exposed to air save by stranding. Therefore this factor is not relevant.</p>				
<b>Increase in emergence regime</b>	Low	Very high	Very Low	Low
<p><i>Hippocampus hippocampus</i> generally occurs below 5 m and is unlikely to be affected by increases in emergence. Any periods of emergence of the habitat in which <i>Hippocampus hippocampus</i> occurs are, therefore, likely to be brief and the wetness of the algae and the seagrass would protect the seahorses. <i>Hippocampus hippocampus</i> is mobile and may be able to recolonize in deeper water. Some stress may occur, therefore, intolerance has been assessed</p>				

as low with a very high recoverability.

**Decrease in emergence regime**      Intermediate      Moderate      Moderate      Low

As a predominantly sublittoral species, a decrease in emergence may benefit populations of *Hippocampus hippocampus* found on the lower shore by providing additional substratum for colonization. Therefore tolerant\* has been recorded.

**Increase in water flow rate**      Intermediate      Moderate      Moderate      Low

Water flow is vital in aiding the distribution of seahorse fry (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.). However, an increase in water flow associated with storms could have a detrimental affect, such as carrying adults and young fry away from their home range, or separating a bonded pair, but not in normal circumstances. The benchmark suggests an increase in flow rate of two categories which could see the seahorses experiencing flow rates of 6 knots therefore, intolerance has been assessed as intermediate with a moderate recoverability.

**Decrease in water flow rate**      Tolerant      Not relevant      Not sensitive      Low

*Hippocampus hippocampus* inhabit sheltered areas. A decrease in water flow would reduce the risk of young fry or one individual from a bonded pair being carried away to another home range. *Hippocampus hippocampus* are active ambush feeders, therefore are not reliant on water flow for food availability. Therefore a further decrease in the water flow rate at the benchmark level is unlikely to affect this species and tolerant has been recorded.

**Increase in temperature**      Tolerant\*      Not relevant      Not sensitive\*      Low

No specific information was found on the effects of temperature on *Hippocampus hippocampus*, although temperature is known to affect reproduction rates. *Hippocampus hippocampus* is a predominantly southern species in British waters. It is also found in the Mediterranean, the Black Sea, and round the African coast to the Gulf of Guinea. *Hippocampus hippocampus* has been recorded in temperatures between 18 to 25 °C (Fishbase, 2000) and as low as 5 or 6 °C in the winter in British waters (N. Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm.). An increase in temperature may affect spawning levels. In captivity, *Hippocampus hippocampus* can be adapted to tropical temperatures. This was done by raising the water temperature very slowly over a period of time so that the seahorses are able to adapt with no adverse affects. Therefore an increase in temperature at the benchmark level may increase the viability of the population in British waters. *Hippocampus hippocampus* would therefore be tolerant\* of this factor.

**Decrease in temperature**      Intermediate      Moderate      Moderate      Not relevant

No specific information could be found on the effect of a decrease in temperature on *Hippocampus hippocampus*. *Hippocampus hippocampus* predominantly occurs in the southern waters of the British Isles. However, there has been reports of *Hippocampus hippocampus* in water temperatures as low as 5-6 °C (Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm., February 2004). Therefore *Hippocampus hippocampus* is likely to be tolerant of a decrease in temperature at the benchmark level. However, reproductive output is likely to be reduced and adults may migrate away from an area that has cooled, therefore intolerance has been assessed as intermediate with a moderate recovery.

**Increase in turbidity**      Low      Very high      Very Low      Very low

No information on the specific effects of an increase in turbidity could be found. *Hippocampus hippocampus* is found in areas of low water flow rate and wave exposure and on substrata including silt and mud. Therefore is unlikely to be directly adversely affected by increases in turbidity at the benchmark level. However, light attenuation limits the depth to which seagrasses can grow as light is a requirement for photosynthesis. Turbidity resulting from

dredging and eutrophication caused a massive decline of *Zostera* populations in the Wadden Sea (Geisen *et al.*, 1990; Davison & Hughes, 1998). Seagrass populations are likely to survive short term increases in turbidity, however, a prolonged increase in light attenuation, especially at the lower depths of its distribution, will probably result in loss or damage of the population. This may cause a loss of habitat and hence displacement of *Hippocampus hippocampus*. Therefore intolerance has been assessed as low with a very high recovery.

**Decrease in turbidity** Tolerant\* Not relevant Not sensitive\*

Decreases in turbidity may benefit algal growth and therefore increase the preferred habitat of *Hippocampus hippocampus*. This would be beneficial to the population providing more suitable habitats and holdfasts for individuals. It is therefore likely that a decrease in turbidity may benefit populations of *Hippocampus hippocampus*.

**Increase in wave exposure** Intermediate Moderate Moderate Low

Increased wave exposure may carry young fry away from their home range or disrupt a bonded pair. However *Hippocampus hippocampus* are mobile and use seagrasses and algae as holdfasts. *Hippocampus hippocampus* has been known to move out into deeper waters over winter. It has been suggested that this occurs in order for the seahorses to avoid storms and their effects (Garrick-Maidment, 1998).

Increased wave exposure may also be effect the substratum, reducing the extent of seagrass present. Seagrasses are vulnerable to damage cause by increased wave exposure, which could reduce the available habitat for *Hippocampus hippocampus* (see IMS.Zmar for further information). *Hippocampus hippocampus* are found in sheltered areas with gentle currents. Therefore, it is likely that they would be intolerant of an increase in wave exposure at the benchmark level. Hence, intolerance has been assessed as intermediate with a moderate recoverability.

**Decrease in wave exposure** Not relevant Not relevant Not relevant

*Hippocampus hippocampus* and the seagrass beds that they inhabit are found in sheltered areas. Therefore, a decrease in wave exposure at the benchmark level is unlikely to affect *Hippocampus hippocampus* and this factor has been considered not relevant.

**Noise** Not relevant

No information was found concerning the effects of noise on *Hippocampus hippocampus* although it is likely that *Hippocampus hippocampus* would not be affected by the level of noise at the benchmark level.

**Visual Presence** Tolerant Not relevant Not sensitive Very low

*Hippocampus hippocampus* is likely to respond to movement in order to avoid predation. However, it also uses camouflage as a defence against predators and sometimes may not move at all. Therefore, it is unlikely that *Hippocampus hippocampus* will be affected by visual disturbance at the benchmark level, so a rank of tolerant has been recorded.

**Abrasion & physical disturbance** Intermediate Moderate Moderate Very low

*Hippocampus hippocampus* is likely to be vulnerable to mobile fishing gear, for instance scallop dredging. Individuals may be crushed and killed but it is more likely that individuals would avoid the source of the disturbance. If a pregnant male is caught or killed the developing brood would also be lost. Intolerance has been assessed as intermediate with a moderate recoverability but with a very low confidence.

**Displacement**

Tolerant

Not relevant

Not sensitive

Low

Displacement of *Hippocampus hippocampus* may occur when adults or fry are cast adrift by storms or carried away while grasping floating debris, resulting in a loss of the portion of the population from the affected area (Vincent, 1994a). However, if transported to a suitable habitat they will resettle and survive. Therefore, *Hippocampus hippocampus* is likely to be tolerant of displacement at the benchmark level.

**🧪 Chemical Pressures**

Intolerance

Recoverability

Sensitivity

Confidence

**Synthetic compound contamination**

Not relevant

No information was found concerning the effects of synthetic chemicals on *Hippocampus hippocampus*.

**Heavy metal contamination**

Not relevant

No information was found concerning the effects of heavy metals on *Hippocampus hippocampus*.

**Hydrocarbon contamination**

Not relevant

No information was found concerning the effects of hydrocarbons on *Hippocampus hippocampus*.

**Radionuclide contamination**

Not relevant

No information was found concerning the effects of radionuclides on *Hippocampus hippocampus*.

**Changes in nutrient levels**

Low

As *Hippocampus hippocampus* is a predator it is not reliant on nutrients for growth, however, a change in nutrients would affect the quality of the water and the availability of the prey of *Hippocampus hippocampus*. However, no information was found concerning the direct effects of nutrients on *Hippocampus hippocampus*.

**Increase in salinity**

Low

No information could be found on the effects of increased salinity on *Hippocampus hippocampus*.

**Decrease in salinity**

Low

The gill structure of *Hippocampus hippocampus* allows them to cope with brackish waters, showing a tolerance for a slight decrease in salinity (Garrick-Maidment, pers. comm., February 2004) but no information could be found on the effects of decreased salinity on *Hippocampus hippocampus*.

**Changes in oxygenation**

Not relevant

No information was found concerning the effects of hypoxia on *Hippocampus hippocampus*.

**🦠 Biological Pressures**

Intolerance

Recoverability

Sensitivity

Confidence

**Introduction of microbial pathogens/parasites**

Intermediate

Moderate

Moderate

Moderate

In captivity seahorses are prone to 'Gas bubble disease' which can manifest itself in two forms (Garrick-Maidment, 1997). The first form can be caused by stress and bacteria. Visible symptoms include:

- gas bubbles under the skin of the tail;
- and gas bubbles under the skin on the snout (Garrick-Maidment, 1997).

This type of 'Gas bubble disease' is very destructive. It may be accompanied by fungus and will eventually cause death (Garrick-Maidment, 1997).

The second type of 'Gas bubble disease' may be caused by decaying embryos but there is a suggestion that high levels of dissolved oxygen from protein skimmers can cause problems in aquaria (Garrick-Maidment pers. comm., February 2004). An internal injury in the pouch may also be responsible (Garrick-Maidment, 1997). The consequences of gaseous build up is that the male loses control of his buoyancy and hangs upside down in the water and is not able to anchor itself (Garrick-Maidment, 1997). This form of the disease can be cured (Garrick-Maidment, 1997). No specific effects of microbial pathogens or parasites could be found for *Hippocampus hippocampus*, however inference may be drawn from other species of *Hippocampus*. For example:

- an abundant growth of parasitic hydroids (believed to be *Serialia lendigera*) on the head, neck, and anterior body parts in an aquarium held *Hippocampus ramulosus* was reported but no specific effects were observed (Newman, 1873; cited in Lauckner, 1984), and
- in a New York aquarium the ciliate *Uronema marinum* was found in the musculature and skin in seahorses. The ciliates also invaded the kidneys, urinary bladder, neural canal, blood vessels and gills and was highly destructive to the hosts tissues, ingesting blood cells and tissue debris (Cheung *et al.*, 1980).

. Therefore, intolerance has been assessed as intermediate with a moderate recoverability.

#### Introduction of non-native species

Not relevant

No information was found concerning the effects of alien species on *Hippocampus hippocampus*.

#### Extraction of this species

Intermediate

Moderate

Moderate

Moderate

*Hippocampus hippocampus* is targeted for extraction for trade as medicines, aquarium pets and curios. Seahorse populations are believed to have declined world-wide, although there is little quantitative harvest and trade data to support this (U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, 2000). At least 20 million dried seahorses are traded world-wide annually (Lourie *et al.*, 1999). The majority of seahorses go to traditional Chinese medicine and its derivatives (e.g. Japanese and Korean traditional medicines). The impact of removing millions of seahorses can only be inferred indirectly because global seahorse numbers are unknown, and fisheries undocumented (Vincent, 1996).

Europe primarily trades seahorses as curios and aquarium fishes. Each import shipment is small but total imports amount to hundreds of thousands of seahorses annually. The UK imports live seahorses from around the world. Records show that in 1994, 4000 seahorses were imported (Wilson, 1995; cited in Vincent, 1996). The British Isles is now being targeted for collection for the aquarium trade, with a small but significant number of animals being

taken in Weymouth Bay in Dorset commercially (price reported as £65 per fish) and a handful of animals being taken by divers and fishermen particularly around the Channel Islands of Jersey and Guernsey (JNCC, 2002). Seahorse fisheries are individually small but collectively very large and potentially damaging to wild seahorse populations, which are often caught in trawls and seines.

Trawling activities also damage the habitat of seahorses, for example, destroying seagrass beds. Extracting seahorses at the current rate appears to be having a serious effect on their populations (Vincent, 1996). Therefore, intolerance has been assessed as intermediate with a moderate recoverability.

**Extraction of other species**                      **Intermediate**    **Moderate**                      **Moderate**                      **Moderate**

Although no information was found concerning the effects of extracting other species, it is known that seahorses are also caught as by-catch in trawls, seine and set nets in commercial fisheries directed at food fish or shrimps and prawns (Lourie *et al.*, 1999). Therefore, intolerance has been assessed as intermediate with a moderate recoverability.

## Additional information

### Recoverability

If an external factor causes or forces the removal of a population of seahorses, recolonization is likely to be slow. Similarly, if one half of a bonded pair is separated, seahorses will probably be slow to recolonize areas, as partners are not quickly replaced (Lourie *et al.*, 1999). Dispersal is sporadic, and unpredictable as adults may disperse during short range migrations but most movement to new areas happens when adults are cast adrift by storms or carried away while grasping floating debris. It has been suggested that young fry are more likely to colonize new or depleted areas because they are often carried away from natal habitats despite attempts to settle into the substratum (Vincent, 1996). Slow swimming movements, combined with a limited home range may also delay recolonization of any areas from which they have been removed (Lourie *et al.*, 1999). Therefore, the overall evidence suggests that recoverability is slow .

## Importance review

### 🔗 Policy/legislation

Berne Convention	Appendix II
CITES	Appendix B
Wildlife & Countryside Act	Schedule 5, section 9
UK Biodiversity Action Plan Priority	☑
Species of principal importance (England)	☑
OSPAR Annex V	☑
IUCN Red List	Data Deficient (DD)
Features of Conservation Importance (England & Wales)	☑

### ★ Status

<b>National (GB) importance</b>	Not rare/scarce	<b>Global red list (IUCN) category</b>	Data Deficient (DD)
---------------------------------	-----------------	--	---------------------

### 🏠 Non-native

<b>Native</b>	-		
<b>Origin</b>	-	<b>Date Arrived</b>	-

### 🏛️ Importance information

To date, few conservation strategies have been implemented for *Hippocampus hippocampus*. However, seahorses are listed for protection in various statutes, directories and conventions .

- The entire genus *Hippocampus* was listed in Appendix II of the IUCN Red List in November 2002 but implementation of the listing is delayed until 2004.
- *Hippocampus hippocampus* was previously listed in 1996 as vulnerable (VU A2cd) under the 1994 criteria. This assessment was based on suspected past declines in occupancy, occurrence and habitat, as well as on potential levels of exploitation. The IUCN reported that there is no appropriate data on the biology and ecology, habitat, abundance or distribution of *Hippocampus hippocampus*. As a result *Hippocampus hippocampus* has been reassessed as 'data deficient' under new criteria (Marsden *et al.*, 2003).
- The European Union regulate and/or monitor the use of dried and live syngnathids but without management requirements (TRAFFIC, 2002).
- In the UK, the Seahorse Trust submitted *Hippocampus hippocampus* and *Hippocampus guttulatus* for full protection under section 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 in February 2000 (Garrick-Maidment & Jones, 2004). This proposal is still under review and is awaiting consultation by the Government with a wide range of organisations and individuals concerned with the review process.
- In 2002, JNCC supported this proposal and recommended that both species be given full legal protection under section 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act.

### The seahorse trade

Seahorses of *Hippocampus* spp. are globally exploited for use as medicines, aquarium fishes, curios and even foods. The majority of seahorses go to traditional Chinese medicine and its derivatives

(e.g. Japanese and Korean traditional medicines) (Vincent, 1996). Treatments including seahorses are believed to benefit a range of conditions including respiratory disorders such as asthma, sexual dysfunctions, general lethargy and pain (Lourie *et al.*, 1999).

The statistics on the seahorse trade are limited and the few that have been published suggest that the annual consumption within the Asian nations alone may amount to 45 t of dried seahorse (about 16 million individuals). The largest users appear to be the Chinese (estimated 20 t), Taiwan (11.2 t), and Hong Kong (10 t) (Vincent, 1996). These figures are underestimates as they include only the trade that passed through China, Hong Kong and Singapore. Therefore, total global consumption of seahorses will be much greater, and as of yet no statistics are available (Vincent, 1996).

The British Isles is now being targeted for collection for the aquarium trade, with a small but significant number of animals being taken in Weymouth Bay in Dorset commercially (price reported as £65 per fish) and a handful of animals being taken by divers and fishermen particularly around the Channel Islands of Jersey and Guernsey (JNCC, 2002). It has been suggested that as stocks of *Hippocampus hippocampus* diminish in other countries and as more unusual species of seahorse are sought after, then this lucrative trade is bound to increase in UK waters, which could lead to a larger scale fishery (JNCC, 2002).

## Bibliography

- Blake, R.W., 1976. On seahorse locomotion. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, **56**, 939-949.
- Carcupino, M., Baldacci, A., Mazzini, M. & Franzoi, P., 2002. Functional significance of the male brood pouch in the reproductive strategies of pipefishes and seahorses: a morphological and ultrastructural comparative study in three anatomically different pouches. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **61**, 1465-1480.
- Davison, D.M. & Hughes, D.J., 1998. *Zostera* biotopes: An overview of dynamics and sensitivity characteristics for conservation management of marine SACs, Vol. 1. *Scottish Association for Marine Science, (UK Marine SACs Project)*, Scottish Association for Marine Science, (UK Marine SACs Project), Vol. 1., <http://www.english-nature.org.uk/uk-marine>
- Dipper, F., 2001. *British sea fishes* (2nd edn). Teddington: Underwater World Publications Ltd.
- FishBase, 2000. *FishBase. A global information system on fishes*. [On-line] <http://www.fishbase.org>, 2001-05-03
- Fonseca, M.S., 1992. Restoring seagrass systems in the United States. In *Restoring the Nation's Marine Environment* (ed. G.W. Thayer), pp. 79 -110. Maryland: Maryland Sea Grant College.
- Froese, R. & Pauly, D., 2004. Fishbase. A global information system on fishes. [On-line] <http://www.fishbase.org>, 2004-10-18
- Garrick-Maidment, N. & Jones, L., 2004. British seahorse survey report 2004. Report by the Seahorse Trust, Devon, England.
- Garrick-Maidment, N., 1997. *Seahorses conservation and care*. England: Kingdom Books.
- Garrick-Maidment, N., 1998. A note on the status of indigenous species of seahorse. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, **78**, 691-692.
- Giesen, W.B.J.T., Katwijk van, M.M., Hartog den, C., 1990. Eelgrass condition and turbidity in the Dutch Wadden Sea. *Aquatic Botany*, **37**, 71-95.
- Hayward, P., Nelson-Smith, T. & Shields, C. 1996. *Collins pocket guide. Sea shore of Britain and northern Europe*. London: HarperCollins.
- Howson, C.M. & Picton, B.E., 1997. *The species directory of the marine fauna and flora of the British Isles and surrounding seas*. Belfast: Ulster Museum. [Ulster Museum publication, no. 276.]
- JNCC (Joint Nature Conservation Committee), 2002. Fourth Quinquennial Review of Schedules 5 and 8 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act, 1981. [On-line] <http://www.jncc.gov.uk/species/4qreview/4qr.pdf>, 2004-02-24
- Lauckner, G., 1984. Diseases caused by Metazoans: Cnidarians. In *Diseases of Marine Animals*. Vol. IV, Part 1. *Introduction, Pisces* (ed. O. Kinne), pp 180-192. Hamburg: Biologische Anstalt Helgoland.
- Lourie, S.A., Vincent, A.C.J. & Hall, H.J., 1999. *Seahorses: an identification guide to the world's species and their conservation*. London: Project Seahorse.
- Marsden, A.D., Foster, S.J. & Vincent, A.C.J., 2003. *Hippocampus hippocampus*. [On-line] [www.redlist.org](http://www.redlist.org), 2004-02-18
- TRAFFIC International, 2002. TRAFFIC and Project Seahorse briefing document, October 2002, [On-line] <http://www.traffic.org/cop12/seahorses.pdf>, 2004-02-23
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2000. Trade in seahorses and other members of the family Syngnathidae. [On-line] <http://international.fws.gov/cop11/seahorse.html>, 2004-02-23
- Vincent, A., Ahnesjö, I., Berglund, A. & Rosenqvist, G., 1992. Pipefishes and Seahorses: are they all sex role reversed? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **7**, 237-241.
- Vincent, A.C.J. & Sadler L.M., 1995. Faithful pair bonds in wild seahorses, *Hippocampus whitei*. *Animal Behaviour*, **50**, 1557-1569.
- Vincent, A.C.J., 1994a. Seahorses exhibit conventional sex ratios in mating competition, despite male pregnancy. *Behaviour*, **128**, 135-151.
- Vincent, A.C.J., 1994b. Operational sex ratios in seahorses. *Behaviour*, **128**, 152-167.
- Vincent, A.C.J., 1996. *The International trade in seahorses*. Cambridge: TRAFFIC International
- Wheeler, A., 1969. *The fishes of the British Isles and north-west Europe*. London: Macmillan.
- Whitehead, P.J.P., Bauchot, M.-L., Hureau, J.-C., Nielson, J. & Tortonese, E. 1986. *Fishes of the North-eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean*. Vol. I, II & III. Paris: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO).

## Datasets

- Kent & Medway Biological Records Centre, 2017. Fish: Records for Kent.. Occurrence dataset <https://doi.org/10.15468/kd1utk> accessed via GBIF.org on 2018-09-27.
- National Trust, 2017. National Trust Species Records. Occurrence dataset: <https://doi.org/10.15468/opc6g1> accessed via GBIF.org on 2018-10-01.
- NBN (National Biodiversity Network) Atlas. Available from: <https://www.nbnatlas.org>.
- OBIS (Ocean Biogeographic Information System), 2019. Global map of species distribution using gridded data. Available from: Ocean Biogeographic Information System. [www.iobis.org](http://www.iobis.org). Accessed: 2019-03-21